From:

88mmas368 <88mmas368@protonmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, January 6, 2022 9:20 AM

To:

* City Clerk; jpbouley@comcast.net; Fennessy,Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; chamby@comcast.net; brenttoddconcord@gmail.com; pierce4council@comcast.net; Kretovic, Jennifer; kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com; Werner, Robert; Kenison, Linda;

Nyhan, Keith; Matson, Gail; Bouchard, Candace; Hawkins, Zandra-Rice

Subject:

January 10th City Council Meeting

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Hello,

I am writing you today to please ask you to vote "no" on indoor mask mandates for Concord. While new variants are obviously anxiety-inducing, Omicron is proving to be little more than a common cold. This tracks as far as viruses typically mutate to become less lethal but more contagious so the virus itself can survive.

The other specific items I would like to reference in support of NO mandates are the following:

- 1. A recent study in Bangladesh claims that masks help reduce spread but admit that cloth masks only reduced spread by 5% and surgical masks by 11%. That's not enough to force everyone to wear them regardless of age, health, etc.
- 2. Dr. Dan Stock spoke a few months ago on the topic saying that respiratory virus particles are too small for any masks to be able to accurately stop. The NIH also has come to the same finding in a few of their research studies as well.
- 3. Several people have taken videos holding a CO2 reader into their masks and are at roughly 3x the "safe" limit within minutes. This should NOT be something children have to deal with on a daily basis.
- 4. Masks can cause harm in children, the younger, the worse it can be. With a 0.003% chance of getting seriously ill or dying with the original strain (which seems to be nearly extinct now as Omicron is taking over), it simply doesn't make sense to risk their health https://www.perk-group.com/masks-harm-children
- 5. See attached photo for pathogens found on masks (which can obviously also effect adults).
- 6. N95 masks have been found to be the least restrictive and most helpful but even they blow out all toxins which doesn't really help the non-spreading issue.
- 7. Fauci himself was found to early on be telling him cohorts that they do not work. Despite the rhetoric being passed around in the media, inhaling the moist, bacteria ridden air inside of your mask is not beneficial to our health. Especially if we are so worried about a respiratory illness. Check with nursing staff on how many cases of bacterial issues they've seen.

And lastly, mask mandates do not make any kind of logical sense when not held to a standard. Old Navy, Target, single layer, double layer, carbon inserts.... there's no consistency in terms of protection and we see masks discarded everywhere. Again, if this is all so terrible, shouldn't there be hazardous waste disposals for masks?

Please think carefully and do all due diligence researching before you vote to make mandates happen again. I truly believe it will only make Concord residents sicker & unhappier. Please vote to allow us to continue breathing freely. The choice is already there for anyone concerned to wear a mask in whatever setting they choose. The rest of us should also have a choice to do what we believe is healthier.

Thank you & I hope to see you voting in favor of health and freedom in the coming days.

-Megan S 88mmas368@protonmail.com

From: Christopher M. Marino <kborkowskij@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 6, 2022 6:09 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; brenttoddconcord@gmail.com; pierce4council@comcast.net;

Kretovic, Jennifer; kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com; Werner, Robert; Kenison, Linda; Nyhan, Keith; Matson, Gail; Bouchard, Candace; Hawkins, Zandra-Rice; Fennessy, Nathan;

Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; chamby@comcast.net; Bonenfant, Janice

Subject: Re: Mask mandate - No, I do not consent.

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Please ensure that this email chain dated December 16 and 17, 2021 is a part of the public record. Thank you.

-CMM

On Fri, Dec 17, 2021 at 5:31 PM BYRON CHAMPLIN < chamby@comcast.net > wrote:

Hi Christopher:

Thank you for your email. I will weigh your perspective during our mask mandate deliberations in January.

Regards,

Byron

Council,

Please take the time to read the below. I understand some councilors will scoff at the sentiments written below, but perhaps, this is a chance to acquaint yourselves with what many people are thinking and feeling. Sometimes we become so caught up in our own social circles, that we end up failing to be exposed to an alternative point of view. I look forward to any responses. Thank you!

I write to oppose the idea of a mask mandate in our community. It is time to move past the idea that controlling other people's breath will save us. There is this incredible notion that those who are in elected positions know better than their constituents and that because of this, there is some duty to implement laws regarding an action that anyone could be doing at any time (but most people wilfully choose not to). Or, that by finding 25 people who can send emails in support of your idea, that that would be enough to put forward a law that affects over 40,000 people. Every single person who wrote those emails to Councilor Hawkins is capable enough of doing whatever they want in public (masked or unmasked), and shame on anyone who believes that they are superior because they do wear a mask. Shame on anyone who tries to put down another person for not wearing a mask. One could be considered quite the intolerant individual if they were to ridicule a "non-masker" and may even be contradicting the very moral set they attempt to project as a politician, or as some executive director of a faux organization which has an obscure reputation for stoking division in our local communities - But I digress.

I can assure any councilor that you are not failing your duty as a human or as a legislator by "failing" to enact a mask mandate. You should not feel any sense of guilt for not doing so. This is a key difference between factions - There is

this overarching sense of responsibility to be exuding a sense of well-being to all those in your vicinity (by wearing a mask) and a sense of guilt if you do not do so. Some people simply do not share this. I don't care if my breath happens to waff in your direction. And stop trying to make me feel bad because of it. According to the same people who wish to perpetuate masks, according to those same people, we have the solution in the form of vaccines. So why need a mask if a vaccine works? And alas, these statistics that are relayed are not trusted. Because if they were trusted, then perhaps genuine fear would be stoked into society. Not this manufactured fear from older segments of the population who will never feel safe unless every single individual around them shows proof of vaccination, wears a mask, and shows proof of a negative test from within the past 24 hours. Please do not treat people around here as if they are dumb enough to be waiting around for the Concord City Council to pass an ordinace before some epiphany occurs that: "maybe I should put a mask on to protect others now that the City Council thinks I should". Do you really believe that people are standing around waiting for the go-ahead from you all? Why do you believe we are so stupid?

Council, do you really believe that people are just sitting around waiting for you to come along and give us our next marching orders? Do you truly believe that people are so subservient to the government that we wait around waiting for the next mandate to drop? Anyone who wants to wear a mask could do so at any time. Why would they be waiting for the government to tell them to? You could do it while you're out, you could do it in your car, heck - you could do it in your own living room (if you wanted to, I guess). People don't need to wait for the government to do anything in this regard. People have the power to put the mask on and take it off when they want. No government needed. We are not a people reliant on the government. Stop trying to be so authoritarian that you wish to impose your way of life on others forcibly.

People are so over this. It's unbelievable how over this whole covid thing people are. Yet, legislators like Councilor Hawkins continue to search for any opportunity to cling onto controlling others in the pursuit of glory that they have "saved lives" through their legislation. Is it a failure to see reality or is it a blatant refusal?

Masking is clearly a policy that people do not support and find ineffective, otherwise they would be doing it on their own volition. Not under duress of criminal or civil penalties, or fear of termination from their employer, or fear of not being able to shop at their favorite store. If masking failed to stick during all these previous mandates, then why is there some belief that another mandate is going to be the solution? That this mandate is going to be the one that people adhere to? Has Councilor Hawkins looked at her crystal ball and seen that this mandate she wishes to consider is going to be the nail in the pandemic coffin?

This consideration is nothing but an echoing of fear-mongering from a national level. **This is just another attempt to foster a collectivist ideology.** "We're all in this together. And if you're not with us, then you're against us."

Stop being so fearful of something that is unlikely to affect you. Stop trying to make me fearful of something that I'm just not fearful of. Stop trying to demonize people like me for not wearing a mask as if somehow that makes me someone who doesn't care for other people. You paint with an extremely broad brush if you believe someone doesn't care for others just because they don't wear a mask.

Even so, let's say people do wear masks - The typical etiquette of people is garbage and defeats the purpose. They typically do not fit and they are typically worn below the nose, mouth, or chin. You will never have enough law enforcement to police people wearing their masks over their noses and mouths. And shame on you for trying to pawn it off on the businesses and their workers, as if being a retail worker wasn't hard enough. But you do not care. You are creating a problem where there is none. If people wanted to wear masks, they'd wear masks. You are just trying to create more division in society and you should be awfully ashamed of yourselves for it.

Literally setting up a system where you wish to hit people with penalties for not wearing a facial covering is such a ludicrous thought.

Individual choice in our society is so important and to continue to allow the government to believe that they can

control a person's life based on "facts" that change overnight is ridiculous. You are not of an elevated position because you are elected and you do not have exclusive access to medical information that the public does not. There is nothing that constituents can't take in for themselves and make an informed decision from.

A government entity believing that "We know better therefore we're going to run the show" does not last long. It typically ends in a reshuffling of power once the next election hits.

I do not trust these statistics that anyone puts out. Why should I? Look at the death counts. Look at all the false positives and false negatives. Look at the disparity in reporting and the criteria used. Look at how the definitions of the statistics change, such as what a "vaccine" is and who qualifies as a "fully vaccinated person". Look at the people peddling the statistics and examine their reputations and histories. I do not trust anyone who tries to insert themselves into my life, who, just because they believe they know better than I do, they believe they get a say in what I do. I am capable of being my own person and making my own decisions. I do not need you. I do not consent to you telling me what to put on my body or in my body. And I certainly don't trust someone named Zandra Rice Hawkins who believes she can show up one day and try to ram this garbage back into all of our lives.

I need you all to back off - particularly Zandra Rice Hawkins.

Looking forward to the meeting in January.

-CMM

From:

Brenda Beauchain
bbfly728@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 8, 2022 5:45 AM

To:

Bouchard, Candace; knyhan@concodnh.gov; Kenison, Linda; Werner, Robert;

chamby@comcast.net; Keach, Fred; Sexton, Amanda; Fennessy, Nathan;

jbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk

Subject:

Mask mandate

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Newhampshire is a live free die state.

But since 2020, we were told to mask up.

Now if you've read the boxes of masks that you purchase anywhere they read''does not eliminate the risk of contracting any disease.

This is also abuse on our children.

So we the people are asking to please drop this mask mandate.

Thanks for taking the time to reading this & to make the right decision.

Sent from my iPhone

From: langlais7@atlanticbb.net

Sent: Saturday, January 8, 2022 12:49 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net; brenttoddconcord@gmail.com; Werner, Robert; Kenison, Linda; Nyhan, Keith; Matson, Gail; Bouchard, Candace; Hawkins, Zandra-Rice; * City Clerk; pierce4council@comcast.net; Kretovic, Jennifer; kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com

Subject: Please do not vote for mask mandate

Attachments: Facemasks Are Not a Mere _Inconvenience_ * Brownstone Institute.pdf

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear Concord City Council members and Mayor Bouley,

We are writing in regards to the upcoming vote regarding a citywide mask mandate for Concord on 1/10/22. We would like to strongly urge you to resist political pressures to reinstitute a mask mandate in Concord and vote against it.

While the attached article is about a 15-minute read, please try to at least skim read the contents to gain a better understanding of why some citizens may object to mask mandates. While not all people feel the stress and anxiety mentioned in the article, mandating masks does greatly negatively affect communication and understanding among people.

To have one segment of society impose what they feel is safe on others who know the facts do now show increased safety is undoubtedly very divisive. Unlike wearing seatbelts, where facts show that they increase safety, wearing masks have never been proven to increase safety against an airborne virus. Please click the following link and watch the 1-1/2-minute video of former FDA Commissioner Dr. Scott Gotlieb, which illustrates what many of us know, that masks are not even really effective against the Corona virus:

https://twitter.com/i/status/1477670342604771328

In closing, we would like to encourage you to withstand political pressure and base your decision about the upcoming vote on intellectual facts instead of emotional arguments from those with an agenda.

Thank you for your time and consideration,



BROWNSTONE » BROWNSTONE INSTITUTE ARTICLES » FACEMASKS ARE NOT A MERE "INCONVENIENCE"

Facemasks Are Not a Mere "Inconvenience"

BY AARON HERTZBERG DECEMBER 27, 2021 MASKS 17 MINUTE READ

ne of the most trenchant arguments made by proponents of forced masking is some variation of "it's just an inconvenience", so/and/or "why do you have to make such a big deal about it". (To be clear, this is not a legitimate scientific or factual argument for the adoption of any policy, but that is not what this article is about.) I am largely going to avoid the issues unique to masking children – what is plainly institutionalized child abuse – and for people with disabilities or past trauma, as many of the harms inflicted by masks are readily apparent and easily articulable.

On the surface, this contention seems like a morally and factually compelling argument. After all, if masks had any meaningful efficacy, wouldn't it be a worthwhile tradeoff to endure a little discomfort to reduce the far worse suffering and death that would otherwise be inflicted by covid?

Yet this argument — "what's the big deal" — does not square with how many people experience masks and mask mandates, including practically everyone who disagrees with masking as a policy. It is undeniable that millions of people are considerably more tormented by facemasks than what we would expect is reasonable or even possible for something that is

indeed merely an "inconvenience". People generally do not profoundly suffer from trivialities.

In other words, clearly facemasks are a considerably greater burden for many people than how they superficially appear; and yet few people are able to work out for themselves what about them is so abusive or terrible. The goal of this article is to enumerate some of the myriad harms and emotional abuses inflicted by forced masking, specifically those that are difficult to articulate or identify the connection to masking.

So what exactly is the big deal about wearing masks?

In a nutshell – as was just stated – mask wearing is to many people something that is enormously stressful, and something that evokes inordinately powerful negative emotions. This is simply the reality, irrespective of whether such feelings "make sense".

Now, as a general rule, if someone feels powerfully about something, there's a reason; or in other words, there is something that is provoking the strong emotions. And the source of these feelings does not have to be the thing that the feelings attach to. The only thing that matters is that the feelings exist, however misguided they may be.

This is not to say that the reality of feelings should be the dominant consideration above everything else. The current radical 'social justice' movement that has elevated one's subjective "identity" as the defining

characteristic of a person is the Reductio ad Absurdum of enshrining subjective feelings in place of objective reality itself.

What is true however is that the emotional distress and suffering of people is quite real. So even if you happen to be in favor of mask mandates and not at all bothered by mask wearing, that does not make the profoundly distressing experience of someone else any less real of an experience.

The following list is not exhaustive, just a collection of some of the factors that make mask wearing, especially forced masking, so distressing to many people.

A few important things to keep in mind:

- 1. Not every listed issue is true for every person who finds masks distressing.
- 2. Each issue amplifies the other ones, so that the cumulative distress is far greater than the sum of its parts. It's like the difference between 1+2+3+...10 and 1x2x3x...10 (55 vs 3,628,800).
- 3. This list is not exhaustive.
- 4. The short explanations are intended to give a bit more insight into how people might typically experience that particular stress. They are not intended to comprehensively define the issue.

Emotional Stresses of Mask Mandates

Deprivation of Personal Autonomy

Depriving someone of their personal autonomy is stressful and demeaning. This is amplified when it is about something that is emotionally fraught, subject to strong opinions and feelings, relates to morality/values, and/or is something that has an implication that you lack the capacity to look out for your own interest. Free will is a defining trait of being human, and the abrogation thereof is experienced as an assault on ones individuality.

A Sense of Helplessness

Being at the mercy of the arbitrary and capricious whims of others makes you feel a sense of helplessness, which is extremely stressful and grueling, and can eventually break a person mentally and emotionally, and is therefore a favored tactic used by tyrants to break the will of the population so that they are too broken to revolt (see Stalin's reign of terror).

Invalidating Your Personal Identity

Masking is now – regardless of the factual merits – a political symbol in society. Being forced to mask is by definition being forced to yield in ones own actions – and worse, in ones public appearance – to your ideological and/or political opposition. Imagine if the government decided to make wearing a religious skullcap mandatory for everyone – you can make the same argument that is being made for masking – what's the big deal, you

barely notice it, etc - I am quite confident that atheists for instance would would feel very keenly the assault on their personal identity.

Assaulting Your Sense of Morality / Making You Feel Like You're Immoral and Selfish

Mask mandates force people opposed to internalize that they are acting immorally and selfishly for two reasons. The first is that society is enshrining into law that how you act is immoral and selfish, which is a public declaration to the world that you are immoral and selfish. The second is that how you act outwardly always exerts influence in how you fell and identify internally, so constantly wearing a mask eats away at your internal convictions — even if you can withstand this, it creates some degree of cognitive dissonance internally. No one likes to feel like they are evil or selfish.

Deprives / Ruins Human Interactions

The quality and nature of social interactions is greatly reduced. Every interaction behind masks is fundamentally different. Interacting in this way can feel sad, despondent, isolating, cold, and/or cruel, among other things.

Over Time Changes Your Personality

Facemasks are a radical and unnatural impingement on normal mental and emotional functioning. Over time, this can change your personality – such as making you less social, less outgoing, more suspicious, decreased tendency or desire to be kind and so on.

Turns Other People Into Abusive Tyrants

This is meant to capture the phenomenon of a subset of people who have turned into cruel and vicious individuals, and abuse people whom they have power over.

A General Feeling of Being Trapped in a Nightmare

Many people feel a clear and distinct sense of being trapped in some sort of perverse nightmare as a result of covid policies, which is an extremely distressing experience, especially when there feels like there is no end in sight.

Elementary Lack of Fairness

People are very sensitive to fairness, and can feel enormous stress and distress when treated unfairly, especially when the unfair treatment is egregious. Mask policies are literally imposing on some people so other people can feel safer — a grotesquely unequal treatment, that in order to help the emotional health of the scared-to-death-of-covid pro-maskers, everyone else's mental & emotional health will be trampled on by forced masking. Moreover, mask mandates preferentially enshrines the political, moral, and ideological views and sensibilities of one segment of society without any justification.

Repeated Experience of "Losing" in Public Policy Decisions

The experience of losing again, and again, and again in substantial, significant public policy decisions is itself very distressing. This happens

to be one of the more prominent animating forces that drove Trump's voter base – that they felt they always lost again and again and again and again. Covid policy for a substantial portion of the population has been a series of devastating losses as practically every policy choice cuts against them.

Feeling That Other People Matter While I Don't

This is a distinct distress in addition to the lack of fairness – that "I don't matter"; this is amplified considerably when "other people matter". This is what people who are systematically disregarded tend to feel, and it is very painful.

And this is especially pronounced in racial minorities who already feel this way from previous history — mostly white liberal elites are forcing their preferences on blacks and other minorities.

The Stress of Difficulty Communicating

The frustration that comes from difficulty communicating is underappreciated, and tends to leave people feeling annoyed, frustrated and stressed.

The Damage From Failed Communication

This particular harm also has another, more tangible dimension – often, people having a hard time communicating simply give up, and giving up is itself an added stress factor that leaves people frazzled. If you're talking to your doctor and you "give up" instead of making sure you understood

what he was trying to tell you – especially older people who psychologically tend to both give up faster and have more innate difficulty physically hearing to begin with – that could be a big problem.

The Distress of Constant Harassment

Mask mandates are a constant intrusion into people's personal lives that leaves people feeling exasperated — "just leave me alone already" / "just let me live in peace". It is a basic human need to not be constantly harassed by others.

Living In Constant State of Worry, Fear, and Anger

Knowing that you have to submit to the mask mandates in many places where you need to go leaves you always feeling a variety of negative and unhealthy emotions about it.

Saps the Joy From Many Different Activities

Take shopping, for instance. For many people, shopping is a leisure activity that can be an effective emotional detox from life stresses... but not when you have to wear a mask to do it.

Living In Perpetual Stress From Social Enforcers

Inevitably, people opposed to mask mandates will not be particularly zealous about following them to a "T", whether it be letting the mask slide down your face, taking it off for a few minutes here and there, or just munching on a bag of peanuts for 3 hours. There is always a baseline

stress of constantly having to be alert for the "mask police" (whether they are actual police or just really annoying Karens).

Public Humiliation

The aforementioned "mask police" are often extremely zealous — unhinged, really — a non-masking-compliant person getting dressed down in public is a common occurrence. Public humiliation can be a traumatic experience.

Emotional Abuse

Mask mandates leave many people feeling emotionally abused. This is both from the masking being forced upon people despite all the mental and emotional distress it causes – in other words, abuse – and from the constant manipulation that is characteristic of abusers that is part and parcel of mask mandates.

Bullying Plain and Simple

Mask mandates are forced coercion jammed down the throats of those who strongly resent them. This is vicious bullying. No one enjoys feeling bullied, or having someone else's will imposed on them against their own will.

The Distress of Being Under the Control of Someone You Loathe

Think of it this way: Imagine two ppl vying for the same promotion who hate each others guts, and then the winner is made the boss of the loser.

This is an added, separate affront to the loser. Same idea here — the antimask people are being specifically dictated to by the very opponents they despise, and on the very issue that they're fighting over. This isn't just at a national level — this is more true about local county or school board fights, and this is a reliable recipe for bad blood and lasting enmity to boot.

The "Tax" of Buying Masks that are Less Unpleasant

Many people choose to buy fancier masks than the nasty surgical masks widely available everywhere, because they are far less unpleasant (and far more sanitary and subject to manufacturing standards and quality control). This itself is a further indignity – if the government wants to impose a draconian mandate on us, the least the government can do is to make comfortable masks available, especially considering the government is throwing cash everywhere because of covid – it's an added insult and disrespecting of the people being imposed upon, in the sense that it's just plain coldhearted to act this way to someone else, at least have a little sensitivity and try to make your own mandates as tolerable as possible on the people you're imposing on.

Irrational Government Actions Breed A Sense of Fear and Instability

Watching the government act in such a factually irrational manner is itself very stressful to many people, as is living under an irrational regime. One's sense of stability and trust in the world is rooted by necessity in the belief that rationality is a limiting principle at some point upon what

government and people/institutions with power in society are able and willing to do.

Makes People Doubt Their Sense of Reality

The very fact of making a crazy policy is itself deeply destructive to people's sense of reality. In other words, there is tremendous cognitive dissonance of on the one hand knowing that masking is nuts, but on the other hand, watching the government make mask mandates — it is very hard to have a genuine emotional conviction that essentially the entire medical community and all of society's institutions have gone stark raving mad. Such cognitive dissonance is very damaging psychologically to your sense of self and your sense of reality, and is also mentally and emotionally exhausting.

Destroys People's Sense of Trust & Stability

Being forced to do irrational and insane things erodes a person's sense of confidence that there is a baseline rationality in society — something that provides people with a sense of stability and security in life generally. It is distressing to feel like there is absolutely no rational limiting principle on government actions or policies, since this by definition means that there is nothing that you can trust is sacred and beyond government (or someone else) coming and destroying. (This also actively erodes the social fabric that relies upon people being rational.)

Destructive of People's Humanity and Dignity

Being forced to act irrationally causes you to lose your sense of dignity as a human being with an intellectual faculty that distinguishes man from animals. In other words, the more you are suppressed from acting in accordance with intelligence, the less you feel the unique transcendence of being a human being – treating people like animals makes them feel like animals.

Dehumanization Through Forced Anonymity

The face is the most visibly manifest characteristic that sets you apart as a unique individual. Masks, by covering your face, strip you to some degree of your sense of being a unique individual and instead makes you feel more like a number than a person. It also distorts your sense of the humanity of others, as you inevitably become trained to perceive other people as lacking human-ness.

They're so Darn Uncomfortable

Masks can be extremely uncomfortable to wear, especially for long stretches at a time. They can also be quite gross to wear – if you sneeze into the mask, well......

Pragmatic Harms of Mask Mandates

Promotes Authoritarianism and Fascism

This is true as written – the ascendance of authoritarian and tyrannical governance has been as shocking as it was swift. Mask mandates – which are objectively draconian and authoritarian regardless of whether they are

scientifically warranted – internalize in people that authoritarian governance is normal, acceptable, and not evil. This is a problem. Every genocidal regime started this way. This by itself is sufficient justification to fight mask mandates "to the death".

On a more relatable level, mask mandates accustom government officials to acting like tyrants, and enjoying their newfound dictatorial powers, a 'perk' they are very unlikely to part with willingly.

Promotes Religious Cultism

Masks have become a religious symbol of virtuosity of a fanatical cult of irrational beliefs that has completely forsaken thinking for the cult members (like lone drivers wearing masks in their cars). Cults have committed some of the most horrific and bizarre atrocities over the past century.

Socially Conditions the Citizenry to Be Docile and Unthinking

Authoritarian mandates that are based only upon the word of people (the "experts"), especially in stark contradiction to facts and common sense, conditions people to be docile, and to not think about anything (as their intellects and opinions are scorned and said to be of too poor quality to be legitimate sources of knowledge for anyone, including themselves). This destroys the vibrancy and energy of the society, and conditions people to not think of themselves as individually capable beings who have the potential to achieve greatness, a critical driving force necessary to impel people to make something of themselves.

Balkanizes Society

Mask mandates help to further sow division and enmity between the factions of society by oppressing one faction while also giving the other faction the moral grounds to claim that the anti-mask faction by not going along with the mandate policies are in violation of the law, and are acting immorally as defined by societal approbation of mask mandates as a crucial health measure.

All-Encompassing Harms of Mask Mandates

Stress

The most obvious general harm of mask mandates is stress. Stress is known to be aggressively destructive to your health, and something that significantly exacerbates every known medical condition. Everything listed above causes the people afflicted to be stressed.

Breach of the Social Compact

When part of society is so wantonly destructive towards another part of society, the society loses its legitimacy in the eyes of the oppressed, and the rule of law is incorrigibly eroded, since one side simply inflicts their will upon the other side regardless of the laws, conventions, and norms of society; and without any limiting principle. "Rule of law for thee but not for me" is not rule of law, and has no moral legitimacy for the "thee" to respect or comply.

Indefinite Nature of the Suffering

14/18

The indefinite-ness of the situation is itself a source of considerable suffering, or a powerful amplification of the suffering one is already experiencing. It is infinitely easier and more bearable to handle suffering that you can see its end, when it will pass, versus suffering that seems inescapable and endless. (The feeling that the suffering is inescapable is a ubiquitous factor that leads people to commit suicide.)

C'mon, most of these are silly?

The ultimate refuge of someone trapped by the facts is scorn and mockery. Human nature drives a person to feel and act derisive about something that requires depth to grasp. Human nature also strongly tends towards not just denying but mocking anything that challenges the morality and prudence of your opinions and actions. Thus people are told that their experiences and suffering from mask mandates is not real and makes no sense — one of the most insidious and abhorrent forms of abusive manipulation.

It is very difficult to gain an appreciation and understanding of most of the things on this list. On the other hand, it is far easier to destroy any sense of comprehension and emotional awareness of these — all that is necessary is one pithy line scorning this whole notion as delusional. Such is the power of mockery, that one witty zinger can completely vanquish the awareness gained from many hours of thoughtfulness and introspection.

So no, these are not silly, and feeling these does not make you a baby. This accusation is nothing more than the panicked derision that is the last defense of someone who can't debate the actual facts.

The Manipulative Nature of an Abusive Relationship

One of the textbook tactics utilized by abusers to maintain control in an abusive relationship is to define the context and facts of anything related to the relationship so as to get in the victim's head so to speak and distort their sense of reality so that they are unable to articulate – even to themselves – the fact of their abuse and victimization.

As we all can see, the constant claims of "facemasks are not a big deal", "there's no conceivable reason for anyone to think that masks can be harmful", etc, accomplished this quite effectively. The goal of this article was to unwind this pernicious and abusive lie in order to re-empower victims of forced masking against the proponents of mask policies who are abusive and manipulative. (Sometimes mask policies are reluctantly put in place in order to accommodate political or legal realities where masking is the least destructive option.)

This can be summed up as one more type of emotional distress inflicted by mask mandate proponents:

The contention that "facemasks are just an inconvenience" amounts to abusive manipulation that steals the ability of the victims of forced masking to identify and articulate the suffering and harm they experience from forced mask wearing.

To conclude, the quote at the top of this article from DA Henderson – widely credited with the eradication of smallpox – is very revealing:

"Experience has shown that communities faced with epidemics or other adverse events respond best and with the least anxiety when the normal social functioning of the community is least disrupted"

It is hard to imagine a greater disruption to normal living than the highly visible and symbolic masks ubiquitously worn everywhere.

Republished from the author's substack.

Author



Aaron Hertzberg

Aaron Hertzberg manages his own Substack and writes on all aspects of the pandemic response.

READ MORE





BROWNSTONE INSTITUTE
2028 E BEN WHITE BLVD
#240-3088
AUSTIN TX 78741

Brownstone Institute is a 501(c)(3) Nonprofit registered in the US under EIN: 87-1368060

From:

Karen D. McNamara < kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 8, 2022 2:51 PM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice

Cc:

joekings@comcast.net

Subject:

Fwd: Tom King support of City Masking Ordinance

Attachments:

Mask Ordinance from TKing.docx

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Janice,

Would you please share with the city council.

Thank you,

Karen

Joe,

Thank you Joe for reaching out and I have appreciated your conversations.

Happy Anniversary!

Karen

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Tom King < joekings@comcast.net >

Date: Sat, Jan 8, 2022 at 1:49 PM

Subject: Tom King support of City Masking Ordinance

To: kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com < kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com >

Hi Karen,

Here you go, please offer any suggestions and probably revise the heading as needed.

In short, the City Leadership needs to accept that as an ongoing & persistent threat to the health & safety of the Community; Covid demonstrates the need for such action. it is in the best interest of the Community for the City Leadership to react. An Ordinance can provide a timely & effective solution that can be implemented quickly. As a method it provides the necessary continuity and uniformity that few can reasonably deny. Surely a favorable consensus on this matter can & should be reached. In your experience at governing I expect that it is rare to see an opportunity for so many to act in concert. It is that Time.

Your thoughts.... Otherwise please circulate as necessary.

Best Regards from Ward 4

Tom King

To: The Honorable Mayor & City Council of Concord, NH

Reg: Masking Ordinance

From: Tom King, Owner Joe King's Shoe Shop

I am writing in my support of a comprehensive Masking Ordinance be adopted by the City in order to help prevent the transmission of Covid 19 and accompanying variants. This is a pandemic, a formidable virus, that is beyond any Health & Safety issue probably not witnessed within our Nation in the past century. We have been fortunate that modern science and technology have produced two major tools in combatting this plague. Those are both effective Vaccines and the Guidelines ascertained from scientific data to help prevent the transmission.

Imagine, Vaccines in just about a year created and made available, amazing! But, now that we are going into our 3rd year what if there were no Vaccines or steps taken to avoid transmission? Not a good thought. On the other hand, what if nearly the entire nation had become vaccinated and subscribed to safety transmission guidelines? Wow! Unfortunately, that opportunity was lost.

Science supports masking/distancing requirements as a necessity in mitigating or containing the spread of the virus. A strong Ordinance by the City is perhaps the best vehicle at this point. It provides, uniformity and consistency throughout the commerce, buildings both public and private. The message "Masking Required" by City Ordinance is an Order of the City to be complied with. You do not have all variety of interpretations displayed as policy produced by management or owners of a business that can be construed as the whim or a particular partisan view. 'For the Vaccinated' or "For the Unvaccinated' these concepts would unneeded. Obviously, some businesses would have various exceptions such as the hospitality, restaurants (masking required when not eating or drinking). Simple, sensible, workable solutions for various market sectors in order to maintain commerce. The main message would predominate "Masking Required" per City Ordinance.

Nashua's recent ordinance adopted in December gets the job done. It's concise brief, across the board in nature, includes the signage pdfs but also provides the necessary business sector nuances. If you have not seen it, I would highly recommended reading. Perhaps a brief survey of how it's working might be in order. Although Nashua's population is just about twice of Concord's we share the fact both Cities are in just as much trouble. Please keep in mind, time is of the essence.

Implementation of an Ordinance is much easier to Deploy by the business because the message is broadcast on every door and there's little room for argument with the owner, management and in some cases their own staff. People will get the same message and respect it. This is to help protect the staff, customers and the community. It is a collective effort. Frankly, most of the time it has become expected by the public.

At a time when deemed appropriate, i.e. threat being significantly reduced, and/or certainly better controlled, more favorable climate conditions exist etc., then either relax or suspend the Ordinance.

Tom

From: Sent: To:	Brent Todd Saturday, January 8, 2022 3:49 PM Bonenfant, Janice Fwd: Mask Mandate	
Subject:		
	mail originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments ze the sender and know the content is safe]	
Janice, could you ple	ase add this email to the 1/10 meeting public testimony for item 26B.	
Thanks,		
Brent		
Date: Saturday, Janu Subject: Mask Mand	v < <u>carisacorrow@gmail.com</u> > vary 8, 2022 ate nttoddconcord@gmail.com>	
Absolutely		
	12:07 PM Brent Todd < brent: break all right with you, can I forward your email to be included in the public record?">break all right with you, can I forward your email to be included in the public record?	
Brent		
On Saturday, Janua Good morning,	ry 8, 2022, Carisa Corrow < <u>carisacorrow@gmail.com</u> > wrote:	
	t you know our house supports a mask mandate in Concord until we are on longer in a substantial out community safety and an "eat your vegetables" decision.	
go into effect rath approach and whi	I like Concord to adopt a more clear policy to help everyone understand when mask mandates will er than continue this cycle of uncertainty and debate. Many school districts have taken this le there has been some push back by a few, generally everyone has come to understand the hen masks will be required and when they will not be.	
Thank you,		
Carisa		

From: melissabernardin7@gmail.com

Sent: Sunday, January 9, 2022 2:55 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; Fennessy,Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net; kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com

Cc: brenttoddconcord@gmail.com; pierce4council@comcast.net; Kretovic, Jennifer;

Brown, Stacey; pwmclaughlin24@gmail.com; Nyhan, Keith; Matson, Gail; Bouchard,

Candace; Hawkins, Zandra-Rice; * City Clerk

Subject: City Mask Mandate - SUPPORT

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear Mr. Mayor and Concord NH City Councilors:

I am writing to urge you to vote in SUPPORT of "AN ORDINANCE amending the CODE OF ORDINANCES, Title I, General Code; Chapter 13 Public Health, Article 13-4, Vaccinations and Communicable Disease."

COVID-19 has taken lives and caused heartbreak and sorrow for the families who have lost loved ones; and strained our health care facilities and workforce, causing physical stress and devastating psychological impact on our public health workers. Passing this mask mandate will help reduce transmission, and is not only the morally correct and lifesaving thing to do – it will save us money if we can reduce the number of people in costly emergency department and ICU care settings.

This should be an easy, and, I would hope - unanimous - vote of the city council to do this.

The ordinance language says it well, ""if COVID-19 continues to spread in New Hampshire, the number of persons requiring medical care may exceed locally available resources," however it shouldn't have had to get as bad as this enact this commonsense policy that will help save lives.

Melissa Bernardin Ward 4 Concord NH

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Kretovic, Jennifer Sunday, January 9, 2022 3:57 PM * City Clerk Fw: NO! To Mask Mandates in Concord-You ARE on Notice	
Please add the following to the asked where she lives.	ne Public Record for the Mask Mandate. Of note, the writer did not respond wher	
Kindly,		
Jennifer		
Councilor Jennifer Kretovic City of Concord, New Hampshire		
Home Contact: 8 Gallen Drive, jkretovic@concordnh.gov	Concord, NH 03303, (603) 228-8395	
From: Kretovic, Jennifer Sent: Tuesday, January 4, 2022 To: KollynX18 Subject: Re: NO! To Mask Man	5:19 PM dates in Concord-You ARE on Notice	
Hello Ms. Collins,		
Thanks for your input. it is important for us to recognize the stakeholders we represent in the City of Concor and I do not see where you have included your address. Please let me know so I can be assured I am your Council Representative.		
Kindly,		
Jennifer		
Councilor Jennifer Kretovic City of Concord, New Hampshire		
City of Concord, New Hampshire	=	

Home Contact: 8 Gallen Drive, Concord, NH 03303, (603) 228-8395

jkretovic@concordnh.gov

From: KollynX18 < maria.collins18@protonmail.com >

Sent: Tuesday, January 4, 2022 4:47:09 PM

To: kollynx18@gmail.com

Subject: NO! To Mask Mandates in Concord-You ARE on Notice

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

NOTICE FOR MANDATING COVID-19 MASKS

January 4, 2022

This serves as notice that the mandate for any individual to wear a mask against COVID-19 violates federal law. All <u>COVID-19 masks</u>, whether surgical, N95 or other respirators, are authorized, not approved or licensed, by the federal government; they are <u>Emergency Use Authorization</u> (EUA) only. They merely "may be effective." Federal law states:

Title 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii)(I-III) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) states:

individuals to whom the product is administered are informed—

- (I) that the Secretary has authorized the emergency use of the product;
- (II) of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use, and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown; and
- (III) of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product, and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks.

EUA products are by definition experimental and thus require the right to refuse. Under the Nuremberg Code, the foundation of ethical medicine, no one may be coerced to participate in a medical experiment. Consent of the individual is "absolutely essential." A federal court held that even the U.S. military could not mandate EUA vaccines to soldiers. Doe #1 v. Rumsfeld, 297 F.Supp.2d 119 (2003).

In a <u>letter</u> dated April 4, 2020, the Food and Drug Administration stated that authorized face masks must be labelled accurately and may not be labeled in a way that misrepresents the product's intended use as "source control to help prevent the spread of SARS-CoV-2." The letter specifies that the labeling "may not state or imply that the product is intended for antimicrobial or antiviral protection or related uses or is for use such as infection prevention or reduction." Any EUA mandate requiring individuals to wear face masks conflicts with Section 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii)(I-III), which provides that the person must be informed of the option to refuse to wear the device.

Liability for forced participation in a medical experiment, including possible injury, may be incalculable. You MUST respect and uphold the rights of individuals to refuse to wear EUA masks.

In conclusion, as stated, YOU have NO RIGHT(S) to require residents or visitors in Concord to wear a mask as a condition of patronage . YOU ARE BREAKING THE LAW !!!

From:

BYRON CHAMPLIN <chamby@comcast.net>

Sent:

Sunday, January 9, 2022 4:14 PM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice

Subject:

Fwd: Mandate

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Hi Janice:

As requested by Mr. Cohen, please make the email below part of the public record.

Thanks!

Byron

----- Original Message -----

From: pfork3@aol.com
To: "chamby@comcast.net"
Date: 01/09/2022 9:44 AM

Subject: Mandate

Hello Honorable Mayor and City Council of Concord .

Pitchfork Records supports the proposed City Council Mandate for mask wearing. Pitchfork has imposed its own mandate for the last several months. We have handed out over 1000 masks. 98 percent of the customers have agreed to wear them, My business has been exceptional even with our mask mandate. It would seem to me that a city wide mandate as proposed certainly would help with the COVID spread . Many very long time retailers on Main st are in favor of the mandate, as it does make it safer .

Thanks Mike Cohen Pitchfork

Byron please pass this on, and please let me know you received this

From:

Jorgen Strycharz <jorgen@nhforever.com>

Sent:

Sunday, January 9, 2022 4:14 PM

To:

* City Clerk

Subject:

Mask ordinance

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear city council,

As a resident of Concord, I am NOT in favor of these mask mandates or any ordinance that would force masking in order to enter any public place of business or city property. I will go out of my way to do business outside of Concord if such proposed mandate/ordinance is passed.

In addition, such mandates are an infringement on a person's right to medical freedom of choice.

I appreciate the hard work of our dedicated council members who are trying to do the right thing. The right thing, I believe, in this situation, is to allow people the choice. Thank you.

Best!

- Jorgen Strycharz Media Creator & Marketing Consultant c: 603-344-6825

From: Rachel Bittman < rachelbittman@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, January 9, 2022 4:17 PM

To: * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; Kretovic, Jennifer; Kenison,

Linda; Nyhan, Keith; Werner, Robert; Matson, Gail; Bouchard, Candace; Hawkins, Zandra-

Rice

Subject: mask ordinance

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear members of the Concord City Council,

Thank you for all you do to ensure that the city of Concord runs smoothly. Especially during times like these, it is difficult to be in the public eye and to have to make important decisions that will affect our community.

I appreciate your concern for keeping our community safe, but I would like to respectfully raise some concerns about the proposed mask ordinance which you will be voting on tomorrow evening.

I am sure that you have all researched face mask efficacy and safety over the past few years, but in the midst of all the headlines, studies, and buzz words, sometimes it is helpful to review the basics from a concise and reliable resource such as the following page from the FDA.

FDA summary of different face coverings and the level of protection they provide

In this summary, the FDA points out a couple of issues that I believe are very pertinent to the discussion of a population wide mask mandate.

First and foremost, the authors of this page acknowledge the unreliability to block small particles of many of the common masks that people use (cloth face coverings, gaiters, surgical masks etc)

"Face masks may or may not meet any fluid barrier or filtration efficiency levels"

"While a surgical mask may be effective in blocking splashes and large-particle droplets, a face mask, by design, it does not filter or block very small particles in the air that may be transmitted by coughs, sneezes, or certain medical procedures. Surgical masks also do not provide complete protection from germs and other contaminants because of the loose fit between the surface of the mask and your face."

The author also points out that these masks are meant to be disposed of in a very specific way to prevent their use from becoming another way for germs to be spread.

Even N95 masks which are best suited for preventing infection come with important warnings that many users may not be aware of, not least of which is a risk of cardiovascular strain.

"People with chronic respiratory, cardiac, or other medical conditions that make breathing difficult should check with their health care provider before using an N95 respirator because the N95 respirator can make it more difficult for the wearer to breathe."

These points are a reminder that while face masks seem like an easy way to do something to prevent the spread of the disease, they may not accomplish what they are proposed to, especially considering widespread improper use which is an inarguable aspect of this issue. A trip to the grocery store is sufficient to provide plenty of examples of the improper use of face coverings, even by the portion of the population that considers them useful and wears them without being mandated.

It is important also to remember the many people who experience lightheadedness, blurry vision, headaches, difficulty breathing, palpitations, skin lesions, and other conditions from wearing masks. For many people in the population, the long term effects of these issues day in and day out will cause them more stress and risk to their short term and long term health than a covid infection.

On top of these concerns, I think that a factor that is often left behind in this discussion is the social impact of widespread mask wearing. Covering the face prevents people from being able to share so many of the facial cues which are so essential for normal and healthy social interaction. Especially in light of the ways that face masks fail in efficacy, these other issues must not be ignored.

The number of cases caused by omicron is concerning to many people, but the use of the type of masks that the majority of the population utilizes on a daily basis (non-n95) especially with the improper use of these masks makes mask mandates an ineffective way of slowing the spread of covid. Though it may feel good to do something in light of rising cases, any measure taken should be one that has a reasonable hope of making a substantial improvement.

I appreciate you taking the time to peruse my concerns on this matter. I hope that you will take them into consideration when you go to vote on this measure.

I wish you al	a very good start to your New Year.	
---------------	-------------------------------------	--

Very respectfully,

Rachel

Rachel Robichaud

From: James Bittman <james.bittman.jr@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, January 9, 2022 4:50 PM

* City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; Kretovic, Jennifer; Kenison,

Linda; Nyhan, Keith; Werner, Robert; Matson, Gail; Bouchard, Candace; Hawkins, Zandra-

Rice

Subject: Mask Ordinance - Please Vote NO!

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear Concord City Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on any new forced mask mandates for our wonderful city.

There is no justification to force a one-size-fits-all solution for vastly different situations. Please leave this important decision up to the individual store owners and other stakeholders.

Thank you,

Jim.

--

James Bittman james.bittman.jr@gmail.com

From:

Sexton, Amanda

Sent:

Sunday, January 9, 2022 5:48 PM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice

Subject:

Fwd: Please Oppose Mask Mandate As Proposed - Instead Tie to Hospitalizations/ICU

Capacity

Hi Janice, This is for the record. Thanks, Amanda

Amanda Grady Sexton City Councilor At-Large 41 Green Street Concord NH 03301 603.548.9377

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jillian Andrews Dubois

Date: January 9, 2022 at 5:18:07 PM EST

To: "Sexton, Amanda", chamby@comcast.net, "Keach, Fred", jpbouley@comcast.net, "Nyhan, Keith",

"Fennessy, Nathan", "Hawkins, Zandra-Rice"

Subject: Please Oppose Mask Mandate As Proposed - Instead Tie to Hospitalizations/ICU Capacity

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Mayor and Councilors,

My husband and I are both fully vaccinated against Covid, having done so as soon as was possible back in the spring. My children are both too young to be vaccinated, at 2 and nearly 5. We also all had Covid back in November after my husband first contracted it at a local fundraising event. None of us came close to being hospitalized. It was like a flu for my husband, a bad head cold for me, and my two children were hardly even sick at all.

I ask that instead of tying any new mask mandate to the rate of community transmission, it be instead tied to a predetermined number related to hospital and/or ICU capacity.

The fact is, this virus is becoming endemic. We can no longer count on bringing this virus to a halt by limiting transmission. Most Concord residents are not at great risk because they are either young or vaccinated. Those that are at risk are more than welcome to protect themselves by staying home, getting vaccinated, and/or wearing N95

masks. I have had trouble finding this data for New Hampshire, but for other states, I have found that the average age of a vaccinated Covid death is right around the same as the life expectancy, suggesting that Covid is not taking years from the lives of those who have taken steps to prevent it. And children have shown to be at less risk than vaccinated adults. New Hampshire has only had one (incredibly tragic) pediatric Covid death so far, in line with other respiratory illnesses.

I am happy to do my part (and ask my children to do theirs) when it comes to helping keep our hospitals functioning and our healthcare heros from becoming overwhelmed. This is a real and serious danger, one I encountered myself when talking to my healthcare provider last week about scheduling my gallbladder to be removed and realizing that the Concord Hospital Ambulatory Care Center had been shut down recently because of staffing issues and the surge in Covid hospitalizations.

However, I find it frankly outrageous to ask those of us who have done the right thing all along to further alter our lives with a mask mandate that will continue on when hospitals are no longer struggling. My kids have given up enough. They honestly don't even remember life the way it used to be anymore.

My daughter will turn 5 in March and we will get her vaccinated as soon as possible. If the hospitals are in better shape by then, I don't want her to have to wear a mask or do any of the other ridiculous things she's been put through after that. She has done enough! And I don't think she should have to do any more to protect people who are perfectly able to take precautions to protect themselves if they so choose.

For example, when this all started, my son was only six months old — too young to wear a mask. We didn't take him to indoor public places at all until he could wear a mask properly, except for medical appointments, when he stayed in a stroller with a rain cover on it until he was in the patient room with a masked provider.

Tying a mask mandate to community transmission instead of a hospital-related metric simply allows this virus, and the unvaccinated folks who have enabled its spread, to alter our lives for even longer, without good reason.

I also feel that continuing a mask mandate when it's not necessary will hurt our local small businesses. Folks will be uneasy about visiting restaurants and bars where they need to take their masks off if there is still a mask mandate, even if it's not one that's actually needed.

I suggest reading <u>Should We Be Counting COVID Cases?</u> by Brown University economics professor Emily Oster for more about why case numbers are no longer as important as they used to be. I have found her to have one of the few balanced, non-partisan approaches to Covid lately.

Thank you for taking my concerns into consideration when you vote on this mask mandate.

Jillian Andrews Dubois 18 Rolinda Ave. Ward 7

From: Sexton, Amanda

Sent: Sunday, January 9, 2022 5:51 PM

To: Bonenfant, Janice **Subject:** Fwd: mask ordinance

Begin forwarded message:

From: Rachel Bittman

Date: January 9, 2022 at 4:17:33 PM EST

To: * City Clerk , "Fennessy, Nathan" , "Sexton, Amanda" , "Keach, Fred" , "Kretovic, Jennifer" , "Kenison, Linda" , "Nyhan, Keith" , "Werner, Robert" , "Matson, Gail" , "Bouchard, Candace" , "Hawkins, Zandra-

Rice"

Subject: mask ordinance

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear members of the Concord City Council,

Thank you for all you do to ensure that the city of Concord runs smoothly. Especially during times like these, it is difficult to be in the public eye and to have to make important decisions that will affect our community.

I appreciate your concern for keeping our community safe, but I would like to respectfully raise some concerns about the proposed mask ordinance which you will be voting on tomorrow evening.

I am sure that you have all researched face mask efficacy and safety over the past few years, but in the midst of all the headlines, studies, and buzz words, sometimes it is helpful to review the basics from a concise and reliable resource such as the following page from the FDA.

FDA summary of different face coverings and the level of protection they provide
In this summary, the FDA points out a couple of issues that I believe are very pertinent to the discussion of a population wide mask mandate.

First and foremost, the authors of this page acknowledge the unreliability to block small particles of many of the common masks that people use (cloth face coverings, gaiters, surgical masks etc) "Face masks may or may not meet any fluid barrier or filtration efficiency levels"

"While a surgical mask may be effective in blocking splashes and large-particle droplets, a face mask, by design, it does not filter or block very small particles in the air that may be transmitted by coughs, sneezes, or certain medical procedures. Surgical masks also do not provide complete protection from

germs and other contaminants because of the loose fit between the surface of the mask and your face."

The author also points out that these masks are meant to be disposed of in a very specific way to prevent their use from becoming another way for germs to be spread.

Even N95 masks which are best suited for preventing infection come with important warnings that many users may not be aware of, not least of which is a risk of cardiovascular strain.

"People with chronic respiratory, cardiac, or other medical conditions that make breathing difficult should check with their health care provider before using an N95 respirator because the N95 respirator can make it more difficult for the wearer to breathe."

These points are a reminder that while face masks seem like an easy way to do something to prevent the spread of the disease, they may not accomplish what they are proposed to, especially considering widespread improper use which is an inarguable aspect of this issue. A trip to the grocery store is sufficient to provide plenty of examples of the improper use of face coverings, even by the portion of the population that considers them useful and wears them without being mandated.

It is important also to remember the many people who experience lightheadedness, blurry vision, headaches, difficulty breathing, palpitations, skin lesions, and other conditions from wearing masks. For many people in the population, the long term effects of these issues day in and day out will cause them more stress and risk to their short term and long term health than a covid infection.

On top of these concerns, I think that a factor that is often left behind in this discussion is the social impact of widespread mask wearing. Covering the face prevents people from being able to share so many of the facial cues which are so essential for normal and healthy social interaction. Especially in light of the ways that face masks fail in efficacy, these other issues must not be ignored.

The number of cases caused by omicron is concerning to many people, but the use of the type of masks that the majority of the population utilizes on a daily basis (non-n95) especially with the improper use of these masks makes mask mandates an ineffective way of slowing the spread of covid. Though it may feel good to do something in light of rising cases, any measure taken should be one that has a reasonable hope of making a substantial improvement.

I appreciate you taking the time to peruse my concerns on this matter. I hope that you will take them into consideration when you go to vote on this measure.

I wish you all a very good start to your New Year.	
Very respectfully,	

Rachel Robichaud

Rachel

From:

Sexton, Amanda

Sent:

Sunday, January 9, 2022 6:15 PM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice

Subject:

Fwd: Proposed mask mandate

Hi Janice, Another one for the record. Thank you, Amanda

Amanda Grady Sexton City Councilor At-Large 41 Green Street Concord NH 03301 603.548.9377

Begin forwarded message:

From: Comcast

Date: January 9, 2022 at 5:54:50 PM EST

To: "Sexton, Amanda"

Subject: Proposed mask mandate

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear Councilor Grady Sexton,

I am fully vaccinated and boosted, and I have had my flu shot. I wear masks where requested, and in many other places where doing so is prudent, courteous, and responsible. That said, I am very strongly opposed to the City Council imposing a mask mandate for businesses. There is no need for such a heavy-handed one-size-fits-all measure. The current variety of approaches is best, as it allows business owners and managers to make decisions based on the nature of their business, and customers to make decisions based on their vaccination status and health risk factors.

Those inclined to wear masks already do so, and the proposed mandate is patronizing. The tiny, tiny reduction in transmission that the mandate might (or might not) bring is overwhelmingly outweighed by the resentment and pushback it is likely to cause.

Areas with restrictive vaccination, masking, closure, and quarantine requirements are also struggling with the Omicron variant, offering proof that these measures do not achieve the desired effect.

"Following the science" means acknowledging that COVID-19 is already endemic. I want NH to reach 80% vaccination and I encourage the Council to take steps toward this goal: mobile clinics, and no government-imposed restrictions on those who are fully vaccinated.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely, Debbie Carley 4 Vernon Street Concord, NH

Sent from my iPad

From:

Karen D. McNamara < kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, January 9, 2022 8:32 PM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice

Subject:

Fwd: mask mandate vote

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Please forward on to the city council.

Thank you!

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Cathy Furlong < laglong@comcast.net>

Date: Sun, Jan 9, 2022 at 7:16 PM Subject: mask mandate vote

To: < kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com >

Councilor McNamara

As a Concord resident, I am asking you to vote "yes" for the mask mandate at Monday's council meeting. While there are many sides to the issue, a mask mandate at this point in time when so many COVID cases continue to escalate is a win win for everyone. No businesses, restaurants, etc need to shut down, everyone can visit these establishments and the business owners have the city to help back them up. Until more NH residents are fully vaccinated and boosted, our cases will continue to go in the wrong direction. This mask mandate won't stop the cases, but it might help to slow them down. As a person who is immune compromised, I do not and will not venture into any Concord business in Concord that does not request masks be worn. If the mask mandate does not get voted in, for my health, I will no longer do any business with Downtown Concord merchants. A reminder that wearing a mask does not infringe on my rights, it is the same as wearing shirts and shoes to enter a business.

Respectfully
Cathy Furlong
4 Wildflower Drive
Concord NH 03303
laglong@comcast.net

Karen McNamara M.Ed., OG she/her/hers Ward 4 City Councilor City of Concord (603) 545-5578

From:

Brown, Stacey

Sent:

Monday, January 10, 2022 8:16 AM

To:

* City Clerk

Subject:

Fwd: Mask Mandate

Good Morning, Madam Clerk

Would you please include the letter from Pam and Frank Kenison in our Council packets and as part of the public record?

Thank you! Stacey Brown

City Councilor, Ward 5

Begin forwarded message:

From: Pamela Kenison < pkkenison@gmail.com>

Date: January 9, 2022 at 8:03:18 PM EST

To: "Brown, Stacey" < SFBrown@concordnh.gov>

Subject: Re: Mask Mandate

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Absolutely,...feel free to do that. Thank you Stacey.

Pam and Frank Kenison

On Sun, Jan 9, 2022 at 7:38 PM Brown, Stacey < SFBrown@concordnh.gov > wrote:

Dear Pam and Frank,

Thank you for reaching out and sharing your thoughts regarding the mask mandate. Do you mind if I share your letter with the rest of City Council and have it included in the public record?

Respectfully, Stacey Brown

City Councilor, Ward 5 603 717-1350

On Jan 9, 2022, at 9:21 AM, Pamela Kenison < pkkenison@gmail.com> wrote:

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear Stacey,

My husband and I strongly urge you to vote in favor of a mask mandate for Concord at the City Council

meeting tomorrow. The doctors and nurses at Concord Hospital are overwhelmed. You and the Council need to do something to help alleviate this crisis at our hospital. This cannot continue to be a political football as much as people who care only about themselves would like it to be.

Pam and Frank Kenison

Ward 5 voters

Pam

-			
E	-	m	
	ıv	m	

Brent Todd brent Todd <a href="mailto:brenttoddconcord@gmailto:bren

Sent:

Monday, January 10, 2022 9:24 AM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice

Subject:

Fwd: City Wide Mask Mandate

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Hi Janice,

Could you please add this email to the 1/10 meeting public testimony for item 26B.

Thank you,

Brent

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Adam Russell < russelladamt@gmail.com >

Date: Sun, Jan 9, 2022 at 11:06 PM Subject: Re: City Wide Mask Mandate

To: Brent Todd < brenttoddconcord@gmail.com >

Sure.

-Adam Russell

On Sun, Jan 9, 2022, 9:33 PM Brent Todd < brenttoddconcord@gmail.com> wrote:

Thank you Adam. Would it be all right if your message is forwarded to the City Clerk to become part of the public record?

Brent

On Sunday, January 9, 2022, Adam Russell < russelladamt@gmail.com> wrote: Hello Counselors,

I am writing to express my support for, and urge you to work toward, a city wide mask mandate in Concord. With Covid infection only growing nationwide it is long past time that we put the political arguments aside and do what must be done to hopefully end this pandemic.

Thank you

~Adam Russell - Ward 1

From:

Brent Todd brentTodd brentTodd brentTodd brentTodd brentTodd brentTodd brenttoddconcord@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, January 10, 2022 9:33 AM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice

Subject:

Fwd: Mandate

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Hi Janice,

Mr. Cohen from Pitchfork Records asked me to forward this message for inclusion as testimony for item 26B.

Thanks,

Brent

----- Forwarded message ------

From: <pfork3@aol.com>

Date: Sun, Jan 9, 2022 at 9:45 AM

Subject: Mandate

To: brenttoddconcord@gmail.com

 brenttoddconcord@gmail.com>

Hello Honorable Mayor and City Council of Concord .

Pitchfork Records supports the proposed City Council Mandate for mask wearing. Pitchfork has imposed its own mandate form the last several months. We have handed out over 1000 masks. 98 percent of the customers have agreed to wear them, My business has been exceptional even with our mask mandate. It would seem to me that a city wide mandate as proposed certainly would help with the COVID spread . Many very long time retailers on Main st are in favor of the mandate, as it does make it safer .

Thanks Mike Cohen Pitchfork

From:

BYRON CHAMPLIN <chamby@comcast.net>

Sent:

Monday, January 10, 2022 10:04 AM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice

Subject:

Fwd: I request a mask mandate

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Hi Janice:

Please add this email to the public record.

Thanks!

Byron

----- Original Message -----

From: Megan De Vorsey <mdevorsey@gmail.com>

To: Karen mcnamara < kmcnamara 1969@gmail.com >, "Sexton, Amanda"

<agradysexton@concordnh.gov>, BYRON CHAMPLIN <chamby@comcast.net>, fkeach@concordnh.gov,

Stacey Brown <staceyfm@gmail.com>, nfennessy@concordnh.gov, jbouley@comcast.net

Date: 01/10/2022 5:18 AM

Subject: I request a mask mandate

Dear Mayor and Members of the City Council;

We are again faced with an evolving virus that is spreading across our population - even to the fully vaccinated. I have stopped browsing in our local shops. I am delaying purchases or buying things online.

Last week I had my hair cut. One customer was not wearing a mask when I entered. Before he left, another pair of customers entered. When they saw him without a mask, they removed their masks. I asked my hairdresser, a woman with a young child, why they weren't asking people to mask up. She said they are afraid of losing customers. Without a citywide mandate, people are endangering themselves because they are uncomfortable asking people to wear masks.

There have been outbreaks of the virus across Concord with vaccinated people out of work for several weeks. I ask you to impose a mandate so that our businesses will be safer and we can resume our daily activities in Concord.

Thank you,

Megan De Vorsey 6 Cambridge St.

From:

Cathy S. <cloudycow@hotmail.com>

Sent: To: Monday, January 10, 2022 11:11 AM * City Clerk; Jpbouley@comcast.net

Subject:

No mask ordinance

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear City Council,

As a NH resident who frequently shops in Concord, I am asking that you vote no on the mask ordinance.

I will not shop in Concord if this ordinance goes through. There are many other towns to shop in that I would be happy to give my business to. Please let people choose to wear one and not impose unnecessary ordinances.

With kind regards,

Cathy S.

From: bertcooper@aol.com

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2022 11:19 AM

To: * City Clerk; jpbouley@comcast.net; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net; brenttoddconcord@gmail.com; pierce4council@comcast.net; Kretovic, Jennifer; kmcnamaraward4@gmail.com; Brown,Stacey; pwmclaughlin24 @gmail.com; Nyhan, Keith; Matson, Gail; Bouchard, Candace; Hawkins,Zandra-Rice

Cc: bertcooper@aol.com

Subject: Mask Ordinance

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear Mayor and Council,

This is not the Middle Ages. We don't summon the barber with his leeches when someone is sick. We don't accuse women of witchcraft.

Millions of lives have been saved by public health measures. Disease does spread, but much less than it would without public health measures, including required vaccinations, TB tests, child health screenings, etc.

What makes Covid-19 different? Nothing. The CDC and the state have emphasized the multilayer approach to reducing this pandemic disease - social distancing, masking, vaccination, and testing.

Mandates in public health are required because there are people who are uninformed, selfish, and lazy, and won't take basic steps absent a strong nudge. We live in a community, which requires thinking about our neighbors and making small sacrifices for them. There will always be people who do not pick up after their dogs. There will always be a few people who refuse to vaccinate their children. That doesn't stop a civilized society from passing health ordinances.

Covid is no different, except that some have alleged that it is a hoax, political, not a threat, or no worse than a cold. This is belied by full hospitals and a strained health care system, with non-Covid medical care postponed. This is a public health question, which has already been answered: social distancing, masks, vaccination, and testing are the answer. Masks are one measure which will help (both with source control as well as by protecting the wearer, including by keeping humid air in the airways during dry winter weather). Perfect? No. Just like the other measures, one more defense to help get R0 below 1.

Some businesses will ignore the ordinance, true. They will likely be patronized by "anti-maskers" and shunned by other customers (and employees). Other businesses will post the ordinance and help stop the spread. Their customers will generally comply and some will be very appreciative, as will some employees. You may recall that smoking used to be allowed everywhere. Society can adopt to intelligent choices.

The alternative is dialing the clock back several hundred years, before the concept of "public health" existed. Knowledgeable people will minimize their trips to Concord businesses. They may choose businesses to patronize in other cities and towns that have protective, science-informed policies. The pandemic will drag on even longer. It will take longer for our businesses to recover. More people will get sick. More people will die.

However, that isn't the end of it. There will be an erosion of public health ordinances as both a benefit and obligation of living in a community. Existing measures will be attacked, including vaccinations for children. Future public health emergencies will be worsened by the negative precedent of failing to pass a mask ordinance today. Count on it.

Bert Cooper Concord, NH

From:

Raymond Pinard <ray@raypinard.com>

Sent:

Monday, January 10, 2022 11:56 AM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice; * City Clerk

Cc:

Raymond Pinard

Subject:

Covid-19 mask mandate, Mayor and Council

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear Ms. Bonenfant:

Please forward the message below to the Mayor and Council for tonight's meeting. Thanks, Ray

Ray Pinard 43 N. Fruit Street Concord, NH 03301 603-620-7500

Mayor & Council:

I would like to voice my opposition to implementing a mask mandate on private businesses as described in the Concord Monitor of Sunday, January 9, 2022. I oppose the mandate for the following reasons.

- I do not believe it is the purpose or the right of local government to dictate to private business who they will or will not allow into their business. This is the responsibility of the business owner.
- About two years ago Covid-19 restrictions were focused on preventing people from severe illness and dying. Masking, stay-at- home, remote learning for students, social distancing, work-from-home, and other strategies were put in place and adhered to until vaccines were developed, distributed, and made widely available to anyone who wanted the single shot or two shots. Now boosters are available from some vaccine manufacturers as well as vaccines for five-year olds and up. President Biden has initiated an effort to make a push on therapeutics. Hopefully these will be widely available soon.
- Over the past year the focus has shifted to keeping people from contracting Covid-19, i.e. getting sick. People get sicknesses of all types on a regular basis. Over the weekend I have two news reports stating that about 65% of people showing up at emergency rooms, clinics, etc. don't even know they have Covid-19. They are asymptomatic.
- Government statistics are not adding together people who have had Covid-19 (natural immunity) and people who are fully vaccinated (medical immunity). When you add the two, plus all those who had Covid-19 but were asymptomatic, the total number of people who have some degree of immunity is probably close to 80% of the population of NH. At the beginning of the pandemic we were told that 80% was the level of immunity required to begin achieving "herd immunity". This has to be considered in your decision making.
- Many people are still wearing cloth masks which the CDC has stated is ineffectual for non-transmission of Covid-19. Are we going to mandate that everyone wear a paper mask, a N95 mask, or similar?
- I believe that the vast majority of people who want to get vaccines have done so. Convincing more people to do so is similar to gaining market share. Every additional point of market share is more

difficult and costly to achieve. People who are convinced that MRNA vaccines are not safe will not be convinced otherwise.

- I believe we are at the point where the pandemic has to run its course.
- I have received two doses of vaccine and the booster. We can't save those who do not want to take advantage of current Covid-19 technologies. Conversely, we should not further inconvenience those who have taken action to protect themselves. If someone doesn't want to wear a mask that is their choice, If the business owner does not want to allow them into their business that is up to the business, not the government.
- I further do not agree with the Council's consideration of tying the mask mandate to CDC measures. This is abdicating your responsibility. This matter, should it pass, should be voted on by the Council every 60-90 days taking into consideration local conditions.
- The focus on trying to protect everyone is unachievable. Chasing unobtainable goals creates frustration with people. When people get frustrated, they lose interest.

Respectfully, Ray

Ray Pinard 43 N. Fruit Street Concord, NH 03301 603-620-7500

From:

Hawkins, Zandra-Rice

Sent:

Monday, January 10, 2022 12:34 PM

To:

Bonenfant, Janice

Subject:

Fwd: Mask mandate vote

Zandra Rice Hawkins
Concord City Councilor, Ward 10
(603) 892-2150 (text/call)
zricehawkins@concordnh.gov

Begin forwarded message:

From: dunnritchie@gmail.com

Date: January 10, 2022 at 8:32:57 AM EST

To: "Hawkins, Zandra-Rice" < ZRice Hawkins@concordnh.gov>

Subject: Mask mandate vote

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Dear Ms. Hawkins,

As a Concord resident who works in healthcare treating cancer patients, I support a mask mandate for all employees and members of the community who enter retail businesses in our city.

Masking is quite simply the easiest way to mitigate this ongoing pandemic. Covid has impacted those who are vaccinated alongside those who haven't. If we wish to remain open for business, it's our civic duty to wear a mask in public to minimize the risk of spreading it further.

Thank you for your consideration in this extremely important matter.

Sincerely, Lynn Ritchie 72 Allison Street Concord, NH

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

From:

John Gaudet <johnmarcgaudet@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, January 10, 2022 12:55 PM

To:

* City Clerk

Subject:

City Council: For the record on Mask Mandate

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Jan 10, 2021

I am John Gaudet from East Concord, and my wife Colleen and I vehemently oppose a mask mandate.

When the debate for the first mask ordinance occurred, I submitted links to 42 studies showing that masks were at best in effective and at worst detrimental. For this meeting, I am not doing that because it is quite clear that many on this council failed to even read those resources; by all appearances.

This new proposal was attempted without public comment. I thank the Council for rejecting that fear-driven behavior by Councilor Rice-Hawkins, and I thank Mayor Bouley for reading 3 pages of available mitigation into the record at that time.

She stated that it is clear that masks work. Well, prove it. Of course, data from both the CDC and European Union show that more vaxxed and masked means more cases and sickness. An enterprising fifth grader could find the proof online. It only takes the will to look.

Next, She stated that we all need to protect everyone because her youngest child can't get vaccinated. Irrespective of the clear evidence that these vaccines don't stop covid contraction or transmission, how selfish is that? You say it's selfish of me to re use to wear a mask, you are literally demanding that 45,000 people wear masks to make you feel better going outside with your child. You and your child won't interact with all those people in a lifetime. It would seem more prudent for you to take some personal responsibility and simply order online or curbside. Why demand 45000 people solve a problem you can control on your own. It's still a free country. Don't you have a duty to protect our constitutional freedoms as a duly elected public servant? You swore an oath to protect, defend, and uphold both the NH and US constitutions, so if you think otherwise, then I am willing accept your resignation now to allow you to avoid such a dereliction of your duty, and I publicly volunteer to assume the balance of your term to ensure the council is whole and our ward is represented.

Should we make Concord perfume-free because some people are allergic? Should we ban the sale of peanut butter because some people are allergic? It's all the same failed logic.

Beyond these points, we keep hearing that we are doing this for businesses. You have presented zero evidence that the prior mandate improved city finances or drew shoppers. I highly doubt that either occurred. You just stopped a few loud voices on main street from complaining and bothering you.

If a business wants to reject unmasked customers, let them have the courage to do so. We'll take our money elsewhere or toss on a mask. But, let it be a choice for both sides. And no, don't argue the employees have no choice. They do. They can wear a mask whenever they want. No one is stopping them.

The fact that a mask is a medical device, and a group of politicians is wholeheartedly unqualified to dispense medical advice is a separate matter that speaks for itself.

In the end, if your mask works as you say, and you wearing your mask protects everyone else, then It clearly should also protect you from inhaling any pathogens from someone else. The air exchanges in the same fashion in both directions through it.

Put freedoms before fear. Publicize mitigation measures and encourage people to do what's best for their own individual situations. Do not try to take away basic freedoms. This is the United States of America. Thank you.

Sincerely, John Gaudet 41 Hot Hole Pond Rd Concord, NH 03301 603-724-4541

John Gaudet johnmarcgaudet@gmail.com 1-603-724-4541

From: Andrew Noyes <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 5, 2022 3:46 PM

To: Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; chamby@comcast.net;

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk

Subject: Notice from Andrew Noyes

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Completed

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Andrew Noyes

Date 01-05-2022

Signature

Email andynoyes123@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Andrew Noyes**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you

From:

Chet Wizboski Jr <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, January 5, 2022 3:54 PM

To:

Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; chamby@comcast.net;

ipbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk

Subject:

Notice from Chet Wizboski Jr.

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Completed

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Chet Wizboski Jr

Date

01-05-2022

Signature

Email

wizboskijrmtb@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to **Principal is Notice to Agent**

I, Chet Wizboski Jr, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you

From:

Therese Lawrence <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, January 5, 2022 4:29 PM

To:

Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; chamby@comcast.net;

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk

Subject:

Notice from Therese Lawrence

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Therese Lawrence

Date

01-05-2022

Signature

Email

tlawrence024@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Therese Lawrence**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you

From:

Megan Noyes <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, January 5, 2022 5:03 PM

To:

Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; chamby@comcast.net;

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk

Subject:

Notice from Megan Noyes

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Megan Noyes

Date

01-05-2022

Signature

Email

meganelizabeth1105@yahoo.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Megan Noyes**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Stephanie Durand <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, January 5, 2022 5:03 PM

To:

Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; chamby@comcast.net;

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk

Subject:

Notice from Stephanie Durand

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Stephanie Durand

Date

01-05-2022

Signature

Email

mhs.durand@gmail.com

Duphin

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Stephanie Durand**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Jared Elliott <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 6, 2022 11:16 AM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Jared Elliott

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Jared Elliott

Date 01-06-2022

Signature

Email jelliottconstruction77@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Jared Elliott**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Sherry Beaudoin <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 6, 2022 3:46 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Sherry Beaudoin

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Sherry Beaudoin

Date 01-06-2022

Signature

Email sherrybeaudoin@metrocast.net

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Sherry Beaudoin**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Tom Albrecht <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 6, 2022 6:15 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy,Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Tom Albrecht

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Tom Albrecht

Date 01-06-2022

Signature

Email jetfuel123@yahoo.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Tom Albrecht**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Josue lugo <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, January 5, 2022 5:53 PM

To:

Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred; chamby@comcast.net;

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk

Subject:

Notice from Josue lugo

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Josue lugo

Date

01-05-2022

Signature

Email

josue3424@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Josue lugo**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care:

This notice is to inform the Councilmen of the City of Nashua that re-institution of a mask ordinance or mandate is unconstitutional and violates the rights of the people. Said action would fall outside of the authority granted by the people and the New Hampshire Constitution.

Please take notice that I understand clearly that statutes were not created to interfere with COMMON LAW or STATUTORY RIGHTS of the People. I understand they are completely different. Though attorneys have failed to learn or inform the People of the difference, the federal and state legislatures have absolutely no power to create the Common Law, as the People are the source of that law.

All government workers swear, by oath, to protect the common law rights of men, and it is their duty to uphold them. Please see evidence for these statements below:

Common Law, Blacks Law 5th Edition:

Common law. As distinguished from law created by the enactment of legislatures, the common law comprises the body of those principles and rules of action, relating to the government and security of persons and property, which derive their authority solely from usages and customs of immemorial antiquity, or from the judgments and decrees of the courts recognizing, affirming, and enforcing such usages and customs; and, in this sense, particularly the ancient unwritten law of England. The "common law" is all the statutory and case law background of England and the American colonies before the American revolution. People v. Rehman, 253 C.A.2d 119, 61 Cal.Rptr. 65, 85. "Common law" consists of those principles, usage and rules of action applicable to government and security of persons and property which do not rest for their authority upon any express and positive declaration of the will of the legislature.

Bishop v. U. S., D.C.Tex., 334 F.Supp. 415, 418. [This is an excerpt from definition and Highlight is for Emphasis]

Please take notice that the Legislature is bound by the common law and cannot block those rights secured by the common law at any time;

Common Right, Blacks Law 5th Edition:

<u>Common right</u>. Right derivative from common law. Right peculiar to certain people is not a common right.

Furthermore, government officials and their agents are bound by their sworn oath to uphold the New Hampshire Constitution (NH Constitution Part II, Article 84), the United States Constitution and the Declarations and Bills of Rights found in the Constitutions of the several states. These documents are the trust indentures which affirm and protect the natural common law-rights of the people. [See Constitutional provision below:]

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 2: Natural Rights

All men have certain natural, essential and inherent rights – among which are, the enjoying and defending life and liberty; acquiring, possessing, and protecting, property; and, in a word, of seeking and obtaining happiness. Equality of rights under the law shall not be denied or abridged by this state on account of race, creed, color, sex or national origin. [Highlight added for emphasis]

Please take further notice that the People created government. Therefore, all powers granted came from the People. No government official, agent, or corporation created under the authority of the legislature has been granted authority over the liberty interests of the People.

Please take notice that there is no one in the state legislature giving the People rights. What the legislature creates as statutory rights, is simply for persons under their statutory scheme. The rights of the People, declared in all state constitutions, are foundational, of highest authority, and all government officials swear by oath to protect them as their primary duty.

Please take notice, if you are part of any federal Covid programs or receive any financial benefit to violate the liberty interests of the people, you are acting against the people with unclean hands;

Constitutional Right, Blacks Law 5th Edition: "Constitutional right. A right guaranteed to the citizens by the Constitution and so guaranteed as to prevent legislative interference therewith.

See also Constitutional freedom; Constitutional liberty or freedom; Constitutional protections."

Please take notice that the rights you swore to protect are guaranteed, and your oath was to protect them and not interfere with them. If you have been delegated power in the constitution to attack them, it is my wish that you show where you were granted that power in any of the state or the federal constitutions, which guarantee a republican form of government to the people.

Elected servants in the state and entities granted licensure by the state were granted authority to manage their own affairs, not the private affairs of the People. No entity in this state has a charter that allows them authority over the private rights of the People. [See Constitutional provision below:]

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 8: Accountability of Magistrates and Officers; Public's Right to Know. All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and officers of government are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them. Government, therefore, should be open, accessible, accountable and responsive.

Maine Constitution, Article 1, Section 2: Power Inherent in People

All power is inherent in the people; all free governments are founded in their authority and instituted for their benefit; they have therefore an unalienable and indefeasible right to institute government, and to alter, reform, or totally change the same, when their safety and happiness require it. [Highlight added for emphasis]

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 15: Right of Accused

No subject shall be arrested, imprisoned, despoiled, or deprived of his property, immunities, or privileges, put out of the protection of the law, exiled or deprived of his life, liberty, or estate, but by the judgment of his peers, or the law of the land. Note that as one of the People, I am in the private realm and not part of any government office.

Please take further notice that no government official, agent, corporation, or business engaging in commerce has been granted authority over the People's private health determinations.

Arizona Constitution Text of Section 8: Right to Privacy.

"No person shall be disturbed in his private affairs, or his home invaded, without authority of law."

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 2-b: Right to Privacy

"An individual's right to live free from governmental intrusion in private or personal information is natural, essential, and inherent"

Please take notice that you swore to not interfere with the Private Affairs of the People, and you were simply given authority to deal with issues of the Public. Private family affairs were not authorized in the grant of authority to you by the Trust Indenture/Constitution, as seen below:

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 14: Legal Remedies to Be Free, Complete, and Prompt. Every subject of this state is entitled to a certain remedy, by having recourse to the laws, for all injuries he may receive in his person, property, or character; to obtain right and justice freely, without being obliged to purchase it; completely, and without any denial; promptly, and without delay; conformably to the laws.

Please take notice that I understand that you do not have power to interfere with the Private matters, affairs, and things of the People in a Republic, and you do not have authority to vote away the People's rights, not even if all the Legislature came together and voted (See evidence below):

Kentucky Constitution Bill of Rights:

"**Text of Section 2:** Absolute and arbitrary power over the lives, liberty and property of freemen exists nowhere in a republic, not even in the largest majority."

Please take further notice that you not only have zero power over the liberty of freemen, but you cannot make executive branch orders or rules over those liberties. (Please see evidence below):

Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966)

"Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rulemaking or legislation which would abrogate them."

As New Hampshire is not a "home rule" state, no local government (nor government agents,) may enact legislation or ordinances which conflicts with those of the state. Since neither the General Court of New Hampshire (the legislature), the Superior Court (Supreme Court,) the Governor, the Attorney General, the Health Director, nor any other state office has the constitutional authority to mandate health choices, local officials are barred from mandating health choices.

I share a common belief in God's naturally created immune system and have vowed to not take any additional vaccinations or restrict the intake of oxygen into my body with a mask that goes against my conscience or creed. Therefore, government officials are prohibited from forcing or coercing participation in any of the requirements of your programs of any sort — especially, as stipulated in Dillon's Rule, if those requirements are not in keeping with the laws of the State of New Hampshire.

If any town officer does choose to violate his/her oath by forcing unconstitutional mandates, they are subject to removal under N.H. R.S.A. 42:1: "Oath Required. – Every town officer shall make and subscribe the oath or declaration as prescribed by part 2, article 84 of the constitution of New Hampshire and any such person who violates said oath after taking the same shall be forthwith dismissed from the office involved."

I assert that the governor and appropriate state authorities must immediately restrict or prohibit the actions of any elected body or official in this state participating in enforcement of any mask or vaccine order. The constitution gives this enforcement power to the governor's office:

New Hampshire Constitution, Part II, Article 41:

[Governor, Supreme Executive Magistrate.] The governor shall be responsible for the faithful execution of the laws. He may, by appropriate court action or proceeding brought in the name of the state, enforce compliance with any constitutional or legislative mandate, or restrain violation of any constitutional or legislative power, duty or right, by any officer, department or agency of the state. This authority shall not be construed to authorize any state action or proceedings against the legislative or judicial branches.

Please take further notice that knowledge of the law has been delivered, thereby removing qualifiable immunity. It can no longer be claimed an ignorance of the law or lack of intent to trespass against the law. In none of the 50 Constitutions or the United States Constitution did the People give power over their private matters to any government servant, nor does the government have authority in the Federal Constitution to offer anything other than government in republican form for all the states. All governments are without authority to interfere with any freedom or liberty stated and declared in any of the State Constitutions and I declare that any order requiring the people to obstruct their airways of necessary oxygen is unlawful. In addition, any type of government, police or military agent using any force or coercion, by themselves or with the cooperation of corporate entities, is unlawful.

It is my demand that my private rights and the rights and liberty interests of all Americans be protected. The people may not be denied access to any business, the ability to engage in commerce, or the ability to occupy public spaces based on unconstitutional mandates. Depriving the people of these and other liberties is a violation of your oath. By not responding to me by sworn affidavit, showing where you believe you have the Constitutional Authority to force compliance with a mask mandate or ordinance, you agree to be personally bound for exercising authority not given in any constitution and violating Dillon's Rule.

Please take notice that the People do not have a contract with your attorneys, who continue to instruct you that you may run over the People's rights, and then omit important parts of the law, so you may say that you had a good faith belief that all you did was acceptable. As one of the People, I believe it may be wise for you to learn the Constitutions that you swore to protect, and if you have not previously read them, you may wish to begin now. Please understand that it is you that swore and made an obligation to the People. If you should be found in trespass of your oath, your attorneys have absolutely no responsibility or punishment for hiding the law or failing to teach you the law and the constitution. I do believe, with God as my witness, that you have seen things in this notice that you did not know existed previously. My question to you is: why?

Please take notice, all employers, businesses, and legislative body members, that as one of the People, I wish for you to know all the deception and ignorance of your attempts to remove the liberties of the People are without excuse. You now have the duty to research all these matters. Know that I, as one of the People, wish for complete access to the common law Grand Juries across America. I, as one of the People, wish to present your wrongs to the Grand Juries of the People, which belong to us, the People. The People are the masters of government and the time for correction is now. I send this notice in complete love and peace, and in the hope that these errors will be promptly corrected, so that we shall dwell in liberty, and you may stand honestly in your dealings with those you are hired or elected to serve.

From: Michelle Descoteaux <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 6, 2022 7:27 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Michelle Descoteaux

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Michelle Descoteaux

Date 01-06-2022

Signature

Email mdescoteaux3232@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Michelle Descoteaux**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Jonathan Harmon <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Thursday, January 6, 2022 8:17 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Jonathan Harmon

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Jonathan Harmon

Date

01-06-2022

Signature

Email

jhnh78@pm.me

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Jonathan Harmon**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Kathryn Clement <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 7, 2022 3:17 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Kathryn Clement

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Kathryn Clement

Date

01-07-2022

Signature

Email

pixeybob@comcast.net

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Estani Cas

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Kathryn Clement**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Michele Crook <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 7, 2022 5:39 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Michele Crook

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Michele Crook

Date

01-07-2022

Signature

Email

Caligirl13@protonmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Michele Crook**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Leandra Fontaine <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 7, 2022 5:42 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Leandra Fontaine

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Leandra Fontaine

Date

01-07-2022

Signature

Email

angovemom4@aol.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Leandra Fontaine**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Daniel Angove <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 5:43 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Daniel Angove

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Daniel Angove

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email

dannyangove@aol.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Daniel Angove**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Jennifer Mercer <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 6:55 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Jennifer Mercer

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Jennifer Mercer

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email cjmercer@myfairpoint.net

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Jennifer Mercer**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Deidra Potter <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 6:57 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Deidra Potter

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Deidra Potter

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email deidrapotter3@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Deidra Potter**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Amy Griffin <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 7, 2022 6:58 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Amy Griffin

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Amy Griffin

Date

01-07-2022

Signature

Email

Nhkick@aol.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, Amy Griffin, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Alicha Kingsbury <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 7, 2022 7:04 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Alicha Kingsbury

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Alicha Kingsbury

Date

01-07-2022

Signature

Email

ammhkingsbury@aol.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Alicha Kingsbury**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Denise M Ivas <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 7:07 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Denise M Ivas

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Denise M Ivas

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email deniseivas@comcast.net

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Denise M Ivas**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Kina Ferreira <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 7:08 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy,Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Kina Ferreira

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Kina Ferreira

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email brandedhearts82@yahoo.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Kina Ferreira**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Bruce terrio <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 7, 2022 7:10 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Bruce terrio

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Bruce terrio

Date

01-07-2022

Signature

Email

blterrio@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Bruce terrio**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Lori Eugenides <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 7:13 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Lori Eugenides

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Lori Eugenides

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email lorieugenides@yahoo.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, Lori Eugenides, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Kelly Anderson <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 7:14 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Kelly Anderson

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Kelly Anderson

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email

ksobolewski2@yahoo.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Kelly Anderson**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Lindsay Chase <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 7:18 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Lindsay Chase

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Lindsay Chase

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email Lindsay.chase@tds.net

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Lindsay Chase**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Heather Zyliak <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 7, 2022 7:37 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Heather Zyliak

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Heather Zyliak

Date

01-07-2022

Signature

Email

hzyliak@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Heather Zyliak**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Thomas Zyliak <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 7:37 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Thomas Zyliak

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Thomas Zyliak

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email tzyliak@yahoo.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Thomas Zyliak**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Mary jackso <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 7:42 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Mary jackso

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Mary jackso

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email

skjmaj@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Mary jackso**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Melanie Steenbeke <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 7, 2022 8:06 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Melanie Steenbeke

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Melanie Steenbeke

Date

01-07-2022

Signature

Email

edswife4life@msn.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Melanie Steenbeke**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Becky Herrmann <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 8:56 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy,Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Becky Herrmann

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Becky Herrmann

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email becky@handcut.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Becky Herrmann**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Laurie Russell <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 8:58 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Laurie Russell

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Laurie Russell

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email russelltlmgea@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, Laurie Russell, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Adriana Andrian <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 9:39 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Adriana Andrian

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Adriana Andrian

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email

write4adriana@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, Adriana Andrian, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Daniel Melvin <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 11:24 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Daniel Melvin

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Daniel Melvin

Date 01-07-2022

Signature

Email

DanMelvin@verizon.net

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Daniel Melvin**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Jeremy Minery <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 8, 2022 5:23 AM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Jeremy Minery

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Jeremy Minery

Date

01-08-2022

Signature

Mi

Email

jerminery@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Jeremy Minery**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Scott Jackson <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Saturday, January 8, 2022 7:41 AM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Scott Jackson

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Scott Jackson

Date 01-08-2022

Signature

Email

sjac1966@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Scott Jackson**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you

From: Richard Lumetta <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Saturday, January 8, 2022 9:30 AM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Richard Lumetta

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Richard Lumetta

Date 01-08-2022

Signature

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Richard Lumetta**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

This notice is to inform the Councilmen of the City of Concord that re-institution of a mask ordinance or mandate is unconstitutional and violates the rights of the people. Said action

From:

Donna LaClair <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 8, 2022 11:33 AM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Donna LaClair

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Donna LaClair

Date

01-08-2022

Signature

Email

alleycat9801@comcast.net

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Innada Clain

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Donna LaClair**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Brenda Voydatch <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Saturday, January 8, 2022 1:00 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Brenda Voydatch

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Brenda Voydatch

Date 01-08-2022

Signature

Email

TrustGodsees@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Brenda Voydatch**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Diane Cox <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 8, 2022 1:06 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Diane Cox

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Diane Cox

Date

01-08-2022

Signature

Email

d.nickerson62@gmail.com

Soine Mlos

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Diane Cox**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Kelly Waitte <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Saturday, January 8, 2022 1:26 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy,Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Kelly Waitte

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Kelly Waitte

Date 01-08-2022

Signature

Email mkwaitte@protonmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Kelly Waitte**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Brenda Brauchain <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 8, 2022 2:00 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Brenda Brauchain

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Brenda Brauchain

Date

01-08-2022

Signature

Email

bbfly728@yahoo.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

hurle Burdano

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Brenda Brauchain**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Edward beauchain <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 8, 2022 2:01 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Edward beauchain

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Edward beauchain

Date

01-08-2022

Signature

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Edward beauchain**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

This notice is to inform the Councilmen of the City of Concord that re-institution of a mask ordinance or mandate is unconstitutional and violates the rights of the people. Said action

From: Susan Cook <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Saturday, January 8, 2022 5:34 PM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Susan Cook

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Susan Cook

Date 01-08-2022

Signature

Email sueski93@aol.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Susan Cook**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Brenda Leonard <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Sunday, January 9, 2022 8:03 AM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Brenda Leonard

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Brenda Leonard

Date 01-09-2022

Signature

Email rockymtn80@comcast.net

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Brenh hone

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Brenda Leonard**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From: Jonathan Leonard <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent: Sunday, January 9, 2022 8:05 AM

To: jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject: Notice from Jonathan Leonard

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name Jonathan Leonard

Date 01-09-2022

Signature

Email jbcmm2511@gmail.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Jonathan Leonard**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

From:

Karen <noreply@formresponse.com>

Sent:

Sunday, January 9, 2022 3:10 PM

To:

jpbouley@comcast.net; * City Clerk; Fennessy, Nathan; Sexton, Amanda; Keach, Fred;

chamby@comcast.net

Subject:

Notice from Karen

[CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe]

Concord Mask Notice

Name

Karen

Date

01-09-2022

Signature

Email

kgiaquinta@yahoo.com

Notice to Concord City Council. Notice to Governor Chris Sununu.

Notice to agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I, **Karen**, one of the people (as seen in New Hampshire Constitution Bill of Rights Article 8), do provide you this notice, that you and your agents, my servants, may provide due care;

This notice is to inform the Councilmen of the City of Concord that re-institution of a mask ordinance or mandate is unconstitutional and violates the rights of the people. Said action would fall outside of the authority granted by the people and the New Hampshire Constitution.

Please take notice that I understand clearly that statutes were not created to interfere with COMMON LAW or STATUTORY RIGHTS of the People. I understand they are completely different. Though attorneys have failed to learn or inform the People of the difference, the federal and state legislatures have absolutely no power to create the Common Law, as the People are the source of that law.

All government workers swear, by oath, to protect the common law rights of men, and it is their duty to uphold them. Please see evidence for these statements below:

Common Law, Blacks Law 5th Edition:

Common law. As distinguished from law created by the enactment of legislatures, the common law comprises the body of those principles and rules of action, relating to the government and security of persons and property, which derive their authority solely from usages and customs of immemorial antiquity, or from the judgments and decrees of the courts recognizing, affirming, and enforcing such usages and customs; and, in this sense, particularly the ancient unwritten law of England. The "common law" is all the statutory and case law background of England and the American colonies before the American revolution. People v. Rehman, 253 C.A.2d 119, 61 Cal.Rptr. 65, 85. "Common law" consists of those principles, usage and rules of action applicable to government and security of persons and property which do not rest for their authority upon any express and positive declaration of the will of the legislature.

Bishop v. U. S., D.C.Tex., 334 F.Supp. 415, 418. [This is an excerpt from definition and Highlight is for Emphasis]

Please take notice that the Legislature is bound by the common law and cannot block those rights secured by the common law at any time;

Common Right, Blacks Law 5th Edition:

<u>Common right</u>. Right derivative from common law. Right peculiar to certain people is not a common right.

Furthermore, government officials and their agents are bound by their sworn oath to uphold the New Hampshire Constitution (NH Constitution Part II, Article 84), the United States Constitution and the Declarations and Bills of Rights found in the Constitutions of the several states. These documents are the trust indentures which affirm and protect the natural common law-rights of the people. [See Constitutional provision below:]

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 2: Natural Rights

All men have certain natural, essential and inherent rights – among which are, the enjoying and defending life and liberty; acquiring, possessing, and protecting, property; and, in a word, of seeking and obtaining happiness. Equality of rights under the law shall not be denied or abridged by this state on account of race, creed, color, sex or national origin. [Highlight added for emphasis]

Please take further notice that the People created government. Therefore, all powers granted came from the People. No government official, agent, or corporation created under the authority of the legislature has been granted authority over the liberty interests of the People.

Please take notice that there is no one in the state legislature giving the People rights. What the legislature creates as statutory rights, is simply for persons under their statutory scheme. The rights of the People, declared in all state constitutions, are foundational, of highest authority, and all government officials swear by oath to protect them as their primary duty.

Please take notice, if you are part of any federal Covid programs or receive any financial benefit to violate the liberty interests of the people, you are acting against the people with unclean hands;

Constitutional Right, Blacks Law 5th Edition: "Constitutional right. A right guaranteed to the citizens by the Constitution and so guaranteed as to prevent legislative interference therewith. See also Constitutional freedom; Constitutional liberty or freedom; Constitutional protections."

Please take notice that the rights you swore to protect are guaranteed, and your oath was to protect them and not interfere with them. If you have been delegated power in the constitution to attack them, it is my wish that you show where you were granted that power in any of the state or the federal constitutions, which guarantee a republican form of government to the people.

Elected servants in the state and entities granted licensure by the state were granted authority to manage their own affairs, not the private affairs of the People. No entity in this state has a charter that allows them authority over the private rights of the People. [See Constitutional provision below:]

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 8:
Accountability of Magistrates and Officers; Public's Right to Know. All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and officers of government are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them. Government, therefore, should be open, accessible, accountable and responsive.

Maine Constitution, Article 1, Section 2: Power Inherent in People

All power is inherent in the people; all free governments are founded in their authority and instituted for their benefit; they have therefore an unalienable and indefeasible right to institute government, and to alter, reform, or totally change the same, when their safety and happiness require it. [Highlight added for emphasis]

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 15: Right of Accused

No subject shall be arrested, imprisoned, despoiled, or deprived of his property, immunities, or privileges, put out of the protection of the law, exiled or deprived of his life, liberty, or estate, but by the judgment of his peers, or the law of the land.

Note that as one of the People, I am in the private realm and not part of any government office.

Please take further notice that no government official, agent, corporation, or business engaging in commerce has been granted authority over the People's private health determinations.

Arizona Constitution Text of Section 8: Right to Privacy.

"No person shall be disturbed in his private affairs, or his home invaded, without authority of law."

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 2-b: Right to Privacy

"An individual's right to live free from governmental intrusion in private or personal information is natural, essential, and inherent"

Please take notice that you swore to not interfere with the Private Affairs of the People, and you were simply given authority to deal with issues of the Public. Private family affairs were not authorized in the grant of authority to you by the Trust Indenture/Constitution, as seen below:

New Hampshire Constitution, Part First, Article 14: Legal Remedies to Be Free, Complete, and Prompt. Every subject of this state is entitled to a certain remedy, by having recourse to the laws, for all injuries he may receive in his person, property, or character; to obtain right and justice freely, without being obliged to purchase it; completely, and without any denial; promptly, and without delay; conformably to the laws.

Please take notice that I understand that you do not have power to interfere with the Private matters, affairs, and things of the People in a Republic, and you do not have authority to vote away the People's rights, not even if all the Legislature came together and voted (See evidence below):

Kentucky Constitution Bill of Rights:

"Text of Section 2: Absolute and arbitrary power over the lives, liberty and property of freemen exists nowhere in a republic, not even in the largest majority."

Please take further notice that you not only have zero power over the liberty of freemen, but you cannot make executive branch orders or rules over those liberties. (Please see evidence below):

Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966)

"Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rulemaking or legislation which would abrogate them."

As New Hampshire is not a "home rule" state, no local government (nor government agents,) may enact legislation or ordinances which conflicts with those of the state. Since neither the General Court of New Hampshire (the legislature), the Superior Court (Supreme Court,) the Governor, the Attorney General, the Health Director, nor any other state office has the constitutional authority to mandate health choices, local officials are barred from mandating health choices.

I share a common belief in God's naturally created immune system and have vowed to not take any additional vaccinations or restrict the intake of oxygen into my body with a mask that goes against my conscience or creed. Therefore, government officials are prohibited from forcing or coercing participation in any of the requirements of your programs of any sort — especially, as stipulated in Dillon's Rule, if those requirements are not in keeping with the laws of the State of New Hampshire.

If any town officer does choose to violate his/her oath by forcing unconstitutional mandates, they are subject to removal under N.H. R.S.A. 42:1: "Oath Required. – Every town officer shall make and subscribe the oath or declaration as prescribed by part 2, article 84 of the constitution of New Hampshire and any such person who violates said oath after taking the same shall be forthwith dismissed from the office involved."

I assert that the governor and appropriate state authorities must immediately restrict or prohibit the actions of any elected body or official in this state participating in enforcement of any mask or vaccine order. The constitution gives this enforcement power to the governor's office:

New Hampshire Constitution, Part II, Article 41:

[Governor, Supreme Executive Magistrate.] The governor shall be responsible for the faithful execution of the laws. He may, by appropriate court action or proceeding brought in the name of the state, enforce compliance with any constitutional or legislative mandate, or restrain violation of any constitutional or legislative power, duty or right, by any officer, department or agency of the state. This authority shall not be construed to authorize any state action or proceedings against the legislative or judicial branches.

Please take further notice that knowledge of the law has been delivered, thereby removing qualifiable immunity. It can no longer be claimed an ignorance of the law or lack of intent to trespass against the law. In none of the 50 Constitutions or the United States Constitution did the People give power over their private matters to any government servant, nor does the government have authority in the Federal Constitution to offer anything other than government in republican form for all the states. All governments are without authority to interfere with any freedom or liberty stated and declared in any of the State Constitutions and I declare that any order requiring the people to obstruct their airways of necessary oxygen is unlawful. In addition, any type of government, police or military agent using any force or coercion, by themselves or with the cooperation of corporate entities, is unlawful.

It is my demand that my private rights and the rights and liberty interests of all Americans be protected. The people may not be denied access to any business, the ability to engage in commerce, or the ability to occupy public spaces based on unconstitutional mandates. Depriving the people of these and other liberties is a violation of your oath. By not responding to me by sworn affidavit, showing where you believe you have the Constitutional Authority to force compliance with a mask mandate or ordinance, you agree to be personally bound for exercising authority not given in any constitution and violating Dillon's Rule.

Please take notice that the People do not have a contract with your attorneys, who continue to instruct you that you may run over the People's rights, and then omit important parts of the law, so you may say that you had a good faith belief that all you did was acceptable. As one of the People, I believe it may be wise for you to learn the Constitutions that you swore to protect, and if you have not previously read them, you may wish to begin now. Please understand that it is you that swore and made an obligation to the People. If you should be found in trespass of your oath, your attorneys have absolutely no responsibility or

punishment for hiding the law or failing to teach you the law and the constitution. I do believe, with God as my witness, that you have seen things in this notice that you did not know existed previously. My question to you is: why?

Please take notice, all employers, businesses, and legislative body members, that as one of the People, I wish for you to know all the deception and ignorance of your attempts to remove the liberties of the People are without excuse. You now have the duty to research all these matters. Know that I, as one of the People, wish for complete access to the common law Grand Juries across America. I, as one of the People, wish to present your wrongs to the Grand Juries of the People, which belong to us, the People. The People are the masters of government and the time for correction is now. I send this notice in complete love and peace, and in the hope that these errors will be promptly corrected, so that we shall dwell in liberty, and you may stand honestly in your dealings with those you are hired or elected to serve.