



City of Concord

City Council

Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, November 12, 2019

7:00 PM

City Council Chambers
37 Green Street
Concord, NH 03301

Non- public session in accordance with RSA 91-A: 2, I (a) to discuss collective bargaining strategies and a non-public session in accordance with RSA 91-A: 3, II (d) to discuss property acquisition to begin at 5:30 p.m.

Present: Councilor Bouchard, Mayor Bouley, Councilors Coen, Grady Sexton, Hatfield, Herschlag, Keach, Kenison, Kretovic, Matson, Nyhan, Rice Hawkins, Todd and Werner were present. Councilor Champlin was late.

At 5:30 p.m., Councilor Nyhan moved to enter into non-public session in accordance with RSA 91-A: 2, I (a) to discuss collective bargaining strategies and a non-public session in accordance with RSA 91-A: 3, II (d) to discuss property acquisition. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

At 6:45 p.m., Councilor Bouchard moved to exit non-public session. The motion was duly seconded and passed unanimously with no dissenting votes.

In public session, Councilor Bouchard moved to the seal the minutes. The motion was duly seconded and passed unanimously.

1. Call to Order.

Mayor Bouley called the regular meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Invocation.
3. Pledge of Allegiance.
4. Roll Call.

Present: 15 - Councilor Candace Bouchard, Mayor Jim Bouley, Councilor Byron Champlin, Councilor Mark Coen, Councilor Amanda Grady Sexton, Councilor Meredith Hatfield, Councilor Allan Herschlag, Councilor Fred Keach, Councilor Linda Kenison, Councilor Jennifer Kretovic, Councilor Gail Matson, Councilor Keith Nyhan, Councilor Zandra Rice Hawkins, Councilor Brent Todd, and Councilor Robert Werner

5. Approval of the Meeting Minutes.

October 15, 2019 City Council Meeting Minutes.

Action: Councilor Grady Sexton moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

October 21, 2019 City Council Meeting Minutes.

Action: Councilor Grady Sexton moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

6. Resolution in recognition of the services of Joseph "Joe" Labontee.

Councilor Keach presented a resolution in recognition of the services of Joseph "Joe" Labontee.

7. Agenda overview by Mayor.

****Consent Agenda Items****

Approval of the Consent Agenda

Action: Councilor Champlin moved approval of the consent agenda. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

Referral to Community Development, Legal and General Services

8. Communication from Richard French, The Works Cafe, requesting consideration be made to allowing geodesic domes to be placed on city sidewalks to allow outdoor seating for their customers in the spring, fall, and possibly the winter months within the city.

Action: This communication referred to Community Development, Legal and General Services.

Items Tabled for December 9, 2019 Public Hearings

9. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances; Title II, Traffic Code; Chapter 18, Parking, Article 18-1, Stopping, Standing and Parking, Section 18-1-16, Handicap Parking, Schedule XVIII; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services & Special Projects.

Action: This ordinance was moved to set for a public hearing.

10. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances, Title IV, Zoning Code; Chapter 28,

Zoning Ordinance, Article 28-5, Supplemental Standards; and Article 28-7, Access, Circulation, Parking and Loading; together with report from the City Planner. (Supplemental report from the City Planner submitted)

Action: This ordinance was moved to set for a public hearing.

11. Resolution authorizing and appropriating the sum of \$1,100,000 as a transfer to Reserves. Funding for this appropriation shall be entirely from Fiscal Year 2019 General Fund Assigned Fund Balance; together with report from the Deputy City Manager - Finance.

Action: This resolution was moved to set for a public hearing.

12. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$120,000 from Reserves as a supplemental appropriation to the City's General Fund for furniture/equipment purchase and replacement, education/training, and the Interstate 93 Maintenance Project; and appropriating the sum of \$77,000 from Reserves to Capital for replacement of a police cruiser (CIP #575), and additional Community Development software funding (CIP #574). Funding for these purposes shall be entirely from Reserve Accounts.

Action: This resolution was moved to set for a public hearing.

13. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$749,727.79 in the General Fund as a transfer to the Highway Reserve and accepting the sum of \$749,727.79 in State Municipal Aid funds; together with report from the Deputy City Manager - Finance.

Action: This resolution was moved to set for a public hearing.

14. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$275,000 for the procurement of outside services to recycle solid waste and authorizing the use of Wastewater Fund Balance for this purpose; together with a report from the General Services Director.

Action: This resolution was moved to set for a public hearing.

15. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$20,711 to support projects that enhance the special character and vitality of Concord and accepting the sum of \$20,711 from the New Hampshire Charitable Foundation; together with report from the Deputy City Manager - Finance.

Action: This resolution was moved to set for a public hearing.

16. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$10,500 for outside services to study the gas holder house repairs and authorizing the use of economic development reserve

funds for this purpose; together with report from the Mayor and City Manager.

Action: This resolution was moved to set for a public hearing.

17. Resolution determining the proposed project has a public benefit and approving an application by 135NNS L.L.C. for a nine year RSA 79-E Community Revitalization Tax Relief Incentive for the renovation of real estate located at 135 North State Street; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services & Special Projects.

Action: This resolution was moved to set for a public hearing.

From the City Manager

18. Positive Citizen Comments

Action: This positive comments was received and filed.

Consent Reports

19. Diminimus gifts and donations report from the Library Director requesting authorization to accept monetary gifts totaling \$1,807.64 as provided for under the pre-authorization granted by City Council.

Action: This consent report was approved.

20. City Council 2018-2019 Quarterly Priorities Report from the City Manager.

Action: This consent report was approved.

21. Report from the City Solicitor's Office regarding a tentative agreement with the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees (AFSCME) AFL-CIO.

Action: This consent report was approved.

22. Report from the City Solicitor's Office regarding a tentative agreement with the Concord Police Supervisors Association.

Action: This consent report was approved.

23. Report from the General Services Director regarding Water Plant/Administration position realignment.

Action: This consent report was approved.

24. Report from the Solid Waste Advisory Committee in response to a referral on

single-use plastics.

Action: This consent report was approved.

25. Quarterly report from the Police and Fire Chiefs on drug overdoses and other statistics.

Action: This consent report was approved.

26. November 2019 Economic Development Report from the Economic Development Director.

Action: This consent report was approved.

27. Report from the Senior Planner summarizing the events of the October 2nd Bicycle and Pedestrian Demonstration Project and associated public feedback.

Action: This consent report was approved.

28. Report from the City Planner recommending a housekeeping amendment to previously approved zoning changes.

Action: This consent report was approved.

Consent Resolutions

29. Resolution proclaiming December 2019 as Capital Region Food Program Month.

Action: This consent resolution was approved.

30. Resolution in recognition of the services of Lieutenant Cori J. Casey.

Action: This consent resolution was approved.

31. Resolution authorizing the City Manager, by way of the Police Department, to submit a grant application to the NH Department of Safety - Law Enforcement Opioid Abuse Reduction Initiative, for funding designated to assist the Department in conducting illegal drug related investigations; together with report from the Police Department.

Action: This consent resolution was approved.

Appointments

32. Mayor Bouley's proposed appointments to the Energy & Environment Advisory Committee. Matthew Thorne, Dorothy Currier, Kelsey Sullivan and W. Carl Cooley

Action: This appointment was approved.

End of Consent Agenda

Public Hearings

33A. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances; Title II, Traffic Code; Chapter 18, Parking, Article 18-3, Parking Meters, Schedule X, South State Street and Wall Street; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services & Special Projects.

Action: City Manager Tom Aspell provided a brief overview.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing.

Public Testimony

Roy Schweiker, resident, doesn't feel that putting parking meters around courts is an appropriate thing to do pointing out that courts don't usually hold sessions on Saturdays or after 5:00 p.m. He noted that they are being placed within residential neighborhoods to gain money for downtown. He feels that this whole idea is a bad thing and feels that they need to find another way for paying for this. He further feels that Council should vote no on this ordinance or at least amend it to take Saturdays and after 5:00 p.m. out of this area.

Councilor Champlin asked Mr. Schweiker if he was in favor of neighborhood parking enforcement. Mr. Schweiker responded yes noting that he feels that the correct way to do it is, if someone violates them, then they get a citation and pay a fine. He doesn't feel that there needs to be meters to provide neighborhood parking enforcement.

Councilor Herschlag referenced Mr. Schweiker's suggestion to reduce some of the hours questioning as to how he would feel to also reducing the amount people pay to the same as they pay in the parking garage to those areas - from \$1.00 to 50 cents. Mr. Schweiker responded that, originally, that was the plan that the outlying area would pay a lesser amount than downtown. He feels that if the city is short of money, a solution would be to add 50 cents downtown; if the city has ample money, reducing the outlying areas with meters by 50 cents would be another way to generate the differential.

There being no further public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33B. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances; Title II, Traffic Code; Chapter 18, Parking, Article 18-1, Stopping, Standing and Parking, Section 18-1-6, Parking Prohibited at All Times in Designated Places, Schedule I, Blake Street; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services & Special Projects.

Action: City Manager Tom Aspell provided a brief overview.

Councilor Hatfield inquired whether the Parking Committee intends to bring forward any of their other recommendations - could they expect others in the future. Councilor Champlin responded not for that zone noting that these are the only recommendations that they are making for what they call the "City Hall" zone. He explained that they are going to be looking at the Boys and Girls Club neighborhood next.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33C. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances; Title II, Traffic Code; Chapter 18, Parking, Article 18-1, Stopping, Standing and Parking, Schedule IX, parking prohibited during certain hours and months in designated places, Short Street and Summit Street; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services and Special Projects.

Action: City Manager Tom Aspell provided a brief overview.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33D. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$496,800 as a transfer out to the Economic Development Reserve and accepting the sum of \$496,800 from the sale of that portion of the former Allied Leather Tannery Complex located at #33-35 Canal Street; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services & Special Projects.

Action: City Manager Tom Aspell provided a brief overview.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33E. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$170,950, to include \$108,200 to the Project

Inspection Fund, and \$62,750 to the General Fund for Fiscal Year 2019 retroactive to June 30, 2019, to be funded entirely from excess revenues and fund balance in the Inspection Fund and fund balance in the General Fund; together with report from the Deputy City Manager - Finance. (Revised resolution submitted)

Action: Deputy City Manager-Finance Brian LeBrun provided a brief overview.

Councilor Coen inquired as to why there were more inspections this year than in previous years. Mr. LeBrun believes that its because of all the utility work that's been done out in the community this past year. City Manager Aspell added that there is more private activity in the community going on. He noted that there is a revenue associated with this; the more inspections they do, the more revenue they collect. He pointed out that the expenses are higher but the revenues are even higher than what the expenses are so the city is actually making more money on this than anticipated.

Councilor Herschlag's understanding is that although expenses were higher than budgeted, the revenue that came in was even higher than the new expenses. Mr. Aspell responded that to be correct. Councilor Herschlag noted that it's not that they are losing money, it's that they didn't anticipate.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33F. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$52,341 for Police Department Roadway Safety Initiatives and acceptance \$52,341 in grant funds from the New Hampshire Highway Safety Agency; together with report from the Police Department.

Action: City Manager Tom Aspell provided a brief overview.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33G. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$50,000 for distribution to families and individuals in need in the Concord community and accepting the sum of \$50,000 as an anonymous donation; together with report from the Police Department.

Action: Police Chief Brad Osgood provided a brief overview indicating that last year they were able to provide funding for auto repairs, utility bills, gas bills, electric bill and oil bills. They also delivered gifts to all the children that were hospitalized with the funds.

Mayor Bouley thanked the donor for the funds. He asked the Chief how closely he works with the city's Human Services Department. Chief Osgood responded that they didn't work too closely with them on this; most came directly from the Police Department's personnel.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33H Resolution appropriating the amount of \$35,000 for funding of a Homeland Security Training Exercise in conjunction with the Merrimack Valley School District and accepting the sum of \$35,000 in unmatched grant funding from the New Hampshire Department of Safety, Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management; together with report from the Police Department.

Action: Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33I. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$15,536.94 to fund fifty percent of ballistic vest purchases made by the Concord Police Department and accepting the sum of \$15,536.94 from the Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program; together with report from the Police Department.

Action: City Manager Tom Aspell provided a brief overview.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33J. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$12,500 for a library renovation/expansion conceptual analysis (CIP #68) and accepting the sum of \$12,500 from the Concord Public Library Foundation as a donation for this purpose; together with report from the Library Director.

Action: City Manager Tom Aspell provided a brief overview.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33K. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$8,500 for stewardship fees and operation costs for the Fisk Road Easement to Five Rivers Conservation Trust and authorizing the transfer of \$8,500 from the Conservation Reserve to the Conservation Property Fund; together with report from the Assistant City Planner.

Action: City Manager Tom Aspell provided a brief overview.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing. There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

- 33L. Resolution approving the acquisition of open space property located off of Hot Hole Pond Road for conservation purposes and authorizing the expenditure of up to \$3,600 from the Conservation Trust Fund for this purpose, as recommended by the Conservation Commission; together with report from the Assistant City Planner.

Action: City Manager Tom Aspell provided a brief overview.

Mayor Bouley opened the public hearing.

Public Testimony

Jim Owers, Conservation Commission Vice Chair, indicated that this is a small parcel explaining that, last September, Council authorized them to buy a substantial 85 acre parcel on Oak Hill. This small 2.4 acre parcel belonged to another family so they couldn't buy it at that time; this is to complete that transaction. He noted that this will allow them to put some trails across that and they also plan to log a scenic viewpoint at the top.

There being no public testimony, the Mayor closed the hearing.

Public Hearing Action

34. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances; Title II, Traffic Code; Chapter 18, Parking, Article 18-3, Parking Meters, Schedule X, South State Street and Wall Street; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services & Special Projects.

Action: Councilor Coen moved approval. The motion was duly seconded.

Councilor Herschlag noted that when looking at the pro-forma for the parking fund, it appears to him that it will be performing beyond the ten percent in reserves that is recommended and that it would still be able to meet the expenses for maintaining the parking garages. He wonders if staff could speak to this or if its something that they may want to table to see if it does make sense to reduce the rates and/or reduce the hours in some of those transitional neighborhoods.

Mayor Bouley inquired whether Councilor Herschlag is asking the Parking Committee to look at the rate structure for these additional meters. Councilor Herschlag explained that if staff was able to give a response this evening he would make an amendment but, if not, he would ask that it be referred back to the Parking Committee.

Councilor Kenison feels that its incorrect to look at placing these parking meters solely for the purpose of adding money to the parking fund. She stated that there are other considerations that go into that and shouldn't be looked at from that one perspective.

Mr. Aspell asked for clarification as to what is being looked for - would it be for these spaces or would it be to treat everyone throughout the city equally.

Councilor Herschlag noted that he would like to start with these spaces. Mr. Aspell pointed out that these folks would have a special rate but everyone else would have to pay a higher rate because they live in a different place. Mr. Aspell believes that what Councilor Herschlag is asking for is, when they get to the outer edges of it, do they look at all of that and whether there should be a different type of rate structural on those areas.

Councilor Herschlag doesn't know whether they should delay this or go ahead with it and take a look at it in the future to see if it makes sense to reduce the rate and/or reduce the timeframe in which they are metered. He feels that this is a legitimate consideration.

Councilor Hatfield has heard from people in parts of her ward, specifically on Centre Street and North State Street, concerned about the hours partly because they have challenges exiting their driveways. She agrees that this warrants a further conversation about perhaps the hours that the meters apply to places in which residents are challenged with parking.

Councilor Keach also has questions specifically with regard to the fact that the meters are ten hour meters in which there will be no turnover. As he understands, one of the functions of meters is to turnover spaces as well as generate revenues.

Councilor Champlin noted that one of the concerns he would have about changing the hours is consistency and confusion - the potential confusion that they could

cause for the residents of the city, visitors and parkers. He stated that one of the things that the Parking Committee has tried to do is be consistent in the hours of parking and the fees that they charge except in specific areas such as the free parking on Saturdays in the city garages. He would hesitate to start to have too much diversity in terms of the fees that they charge and the hours that they charge them.

Councilor Bouchard asked if the Parking Committee counted how many residential units would be affected when they were looking at putting parking meters in mixed use areas with business and residential where residents have nowhere to park except on the street and what the financial impact was going to be by placing meters in front of their homes. Councilor Champlin responded that they took this in consideration but doesn't know that they did a street by street analysis of how many people utilized on street parking; part of this is exasperated by the fact that they do have some structures that are single family homes and some that are multi-family. He noted that there was a sensitivity to this. He stated that one of the things that they have found is that, in other neighborhoods or in many neighborhoods, people have a penchant to use the on street parking rather than their off street parking spaces.

Councilor Herschlag moved to amend the motion to table this item and refer it back to the Parking Committee. The motion was duly seconded.

Mayor Bouley clarified that the Council is only considering two streets, South State Street and Wall Street. He believes the points being raised are worthy of discussion but asked that they move forward tonight but at the same time, send a message back to the Parking Committee to take a second look at it and come back with a report to the Council after doing so.

Councilor Herschlag stated that he takes the opposite view and rather than continuing to forge ahead, he would take a bit of a breather and come back with a report. He doesn't believe any harm is going to be done by postponing this for a month or so; if it is determined that they should be looking at this differently, they could go back and revisit some of the other areas and, if it isn't determined that they should look at this differently, they can remove this from the table and move forward with this.

Mayor Bouley once again clarified that this is for only two streets. He doesn't want there to be a false impression that it would affect everybody.

Councilor Herschlag noted that if a study says that they should make adjustments, then no harm has been done because they haven't moved forward with these additional two streets and they can go back and look at other transitional areas where it may make sense to revisit those issues. He feels that if they moved forward, there is less of an incentive to make a change.

Councilor Champlin feels that Councilor Herschlag's recommendation is prudent and feels that its important to understand that they are only talking about two streets. He hesitates to hold off on putting meters on those streets because that means they'll have to store the heads and will be a bit more dysfunctional. He noted that the Parking Committee did take a close look at this and is more than happy as the chair to go back to take another look to see if the pricing needs to be adjusted and to see if the hours need to be adjusted.

Councilor Keach inquired whether any of these potential revenues were plugged into the 2020 budget questioning whether these have been anticipated. Mr. Aspell responded yes.

Councilor Coen pointed out that they are talking about parking that is around the federal court house and Merrimack County Superior Court building and its not like they are in a residential neighborhood. He noted that there has been an issue for years of people parking all day in the locations around here. He stated that they are trying to improve the parking in those areas. He feels that this is more of a commercial area that happens to be near a residential neighborhood which is why he was supportive of this during their meetings with the Parking Committee.

Councilor Kretovic pointed out that this is South State Street and Wall Street and not Court Street which is what she feels that some of the confusion is coming into this conversation.

Councilor Keach stated that turnover is important with meters so if there is a ten hour meter there is potentially no turnover. He stated that turnover is his main issue.

Mr. Aspell stated that whatever Council wants to do is fine but wants them to understand that he thinks the repercussion of not doing it is that they will set up several streets that do not charge for parking which will be the streets that people park on immediately once they figure it out.

Councilor Herschlag indicated that the issue is that streets currently don't have meters and the income issue. Within the 2020 budget, he would think that even without that revenue that the parking fund would continue to run in the black this year without these two streets. Mr. Aspell explained that his response when people talk about the parking fund is that for decades it was in the red and for one year, it's in the black.

Mayor Bouley asked whether it was true that the reason that it was in the black was because they sold property on Storrs Street. Mr. Aspell confirmed that to be correct.

The amendment to table this item failed on a voice vote.

Mayor Bouley stated that, if this is approved, he would still like to see the Parking Committee take one more stab and take a look at this; not take a look as suggested earlier only looking at two streets noting that it should be more of a comprehensive look at all the streets that are on the map to make sure that they got it right and if there are any considerations that they need to reconsider.

Councilor Hatfield agrees and thanked Councilor Champlin for his openness to that discussion. She pointed out that the people that have contacted her are in predominantly residential areas on very busy streets and one of their major concerns aside from metering generally is the window after 5:00 p.m. She stated that they feel that they have to park on the street at times because of the difficulty backing into those busy areas. She appreciates the willingness to look into this.

Councilor Champlin thanked Councilor Hatfield for her comments and encouraged her to come to the Parking Committee as he would like to have her feedback.

The motion to approve the ordinance passed on a voice vote.

35. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances; Title II, Traffic Code; Chapter 18, Parking, Article 18-1, Stopping, Standing and Parking, Section 18-1-6, Parking Prohibited at All Times in Designated Places, Schedule I, Blake Street; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services & Special Projects.

Action: Councilor Nyhan moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

36. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances; Title II, Traffic Code; Chapter 18, Parking, Article 18-1, Stopping, Standing and Parking, Schedule IX, parking prohibited during certain hours and months in designated places, Short Street and Summit Street; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services and Special Projects.

Action: Councilor Kretovic moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

37. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$496,800 as a transfer out to the Economic Development Reserve and accepting the sum of \$496,800 from the sale of that portion of the former Allied Leather Tannery Complex located at #33-35 Canal Street; together with report from the Director of Redevelopment, Downtown Services & Special Projects.

Action: Councilor Todd moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes receiving the necessary two-thirds vote.

38. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$170,950, to include \$108,200 to the Project Inspection Fund, and \$62,750 to the General Fund for Fiscal Year 2019 retroactive to June 30, 2019, to be funded entirely from excess revenues and fund balance in the Inspection Fund and fund balance in the General Fund; together with report from the Deputy City Manager - Finance. (Revised resolution submitted)

Action: Councilor Nyhan moved to substitute the revised resolution. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

Councilor Nyhan moved approval of the revised resolution. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes receiving the necessary two-thirds vote.

39. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$52,341 for Police Department Roadway Safety Initiatives and acceptance \$52,341 in grant funds from the New Hampshire Highway Safety Agency; together with report from the Police Department.

Action: Councilor Matson moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes receiving the necessary two-thirds vote.

40. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$50,000 for distribution to families and individuals in need in the Concord community and accepting the sum of \$50,000 as an anonymous donation; together with report from the Police Department.

Action: Councilor Bouchard moved approval. The motion was duly seconded.

Councilor Rice Hawkins noted that she will be supporting this resolution but encouraged the Police Department to work more closely with the Human Services Department.

Councilor Todd echoed this and thanked the Police Department and the Police Chief for all their obvious efforts that have been made to thoughtfully carry out the mission of the anonymous donor and carefully select individuals in need throughout the community. Considering all of the other duties they need to perform with their regular officer duties, he feels that its commendable that they have been able to carve out the time to make this program run so successfully.

Councilor Herschlag thanked the anonymous donor. He believes the donor was very clear that he wanted the Police Department to distribute this money and left it up to their discretion. He noted that if the Chief and the department feels that its important or makes sense to coordinate with other departments, he feels that it should be left to their discretion. He doesn't feel that they should be overriding the wishes of the donor.

Councilor Champlin feels that this kind of public spirited generosity is one of the things that makes Concord a wonderful place to live. He thanked the Police Department for their role but seconds Councilors Rice Hawkins and Todd comments; he hopes that the department would be in touch with the Human Services Department. He recognized the Police Department for taking this on noting that it was gratifying last year to see them out in the public in a very positive way.

Councilor Keach doesn't believe that Councilor Rice Hawkins is suggesting that anyone take control over those funds and feels that she is suggesting some coordination.

Councilor Grady Sexton echoed the thoughts of the Councilors who expressed such gratitude to this anonymous donor as well as the Police Department for very thoughtfully distributing this money. She feels that its important to differentiate that they may be looking at two very different populations of people - the Police Department are seeing people who are victims of crime that have direct and immediate losses as a result of the crime that has been inflicted upon them and aren't necessarily the people that are showing up, that have longer term needs, at the Human Services Department. She feels that the collaboration is a wise idea but

also feels that the Police Department uses its discretion to address those very immediate needs of crime victims.

Mayor Bouley wants the Police Department to use this for many of the things they suggest. He pointed out that the Police Department used this the last time on home fuel assistance and rental which is 85 percent of their Human Services budget. He stated that, with coordination, they can touch more people's lives more efficiently with the dollars and make sure they are directed in the way they are supposed to be directed.

Councilor Nyhan noted his agreement suggesting, as an alternative, rather than suggesting or requiring that the Chief reach out to Human Services but the opposite so that if Human Services is aware of a situation they can reach out to the Chief to share that information. He feels that this may be a more practicable way to accomplish both tasks.

Councilor Grady Sexton feels that there is overlap between the two but, along the line of Councilor Nyhan, if there are specific victims of crime in the community that have those needs that want to reach out to the Police Department she feels that this would be an appropriate use. She noted that this was her understanding of what this specific donor was looking for in terms of the population he/she wanted to assist.

Mayor Bouley stated that what he wanted to make clear, in regards to the generous \$50,000 donation, is that they want those dollars to be used with the most effective bang that they possibly can and that is serving the people they are talking about. What he doesn't imagine that the donor thought they should be doing is taking that money and giving it to individuals for home fuel assistance or rental assistance because that is exactly the mission of the Human Services Department. He doesn't want to minimize or water down the dollars when they should be paying for these types of items out of the Human Services Department.

Councilor Kretovic wants to make clear that the generosity of the donor was to help as many people as possible and, while the Chief mentioned victims of crime which she is fully supportive of, he also talked about people that couldn't get their car inspected. If an officer pulls someone over for an unregistered or uninspected vehicle, they have an opportunity to help that person out. She feels that this type of good will goes ten fold in this community and doesn't want to put restrictions on the Police Department with what they are doing when they are doing so much

good.

The motion to approve passed with no dissenting votes receiving the necessary two-thirds vote.

41. Resolution appropriating the amount of \$35,000 for funding of a Homeland Security Training Exercise in conjunction with the Merrimack Valley School District and accepting the sum of \$35,000 in unmatched grant funding from the New Hampshire Department of Safety, Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management; together with report from the Police Department.

Action: Councilor Todd moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes receiving the necessary two-thirds vote.

42. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$15,536.94 to fund fifty percent of ballistic vest purchases made by the Concord Police Department and accepting the sum of \$15,536.94 from the Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program; together with report from the Police Department.

Action: Councilor Bouchard moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes receiving the necessary two-thirds vote.

43. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$12,500 for a library renovation/expansion conceptual analysis (CIP #68) and accepting the sum of \$12,500 from the Concord Public Library Foundation as a donation for this purpose; together with report from the Library Director.

Action: Councilor Matson moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes receiving the necessary two-thirds vote.

44. Resolution appropriating the sum of \$8,500 for stewardship fees and operation costs for the Fisk Road Easement to Five Rivers Conservation Trust and authorizing the transfer of \$8,500 from the Conservation Reserve to the Conservation Property Fund; together with report from the Assistant City Planner.

Action: Councilor Coen moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes receiving the necessary two-thirds vote.

45. Resolution approving the acquisition of open space property located off of Hot Hole Pond Road for conservation purposes and authorizing the expenditure of up to \$3,600 from the Conservation Trust Fund for this purpose, as recommended by the Conservation Commission; together with report from the Assistant City

Planner.

Action: Councilor Coen moved approval. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes receiving the necessary two-thirds vote.

Reports

New Business

Unfinished Business

46. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances, Title IV, Zoning Code; Chapter 28, Zoning Ordinance, Article 28-2, Zoning Districts and Allowable Uses, Article 28-4, Development Design Standards, Article 28-5, Supplemental Standards and Glossary for the purpose of regulating development of solar collection systems; together with report from the Assistant City Planner. (Revised report submitted) (Supplemental ordinance and report submitted along with communication from the Conservation Commission) (Action tabled at the September 9, 2019 Council meeting)

Action: Councilor Hatfield moved to remove this item from the table. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

Councilor Hatfield moved approval of the supplemental ordinance. The motion was duly seconded.

Councilor Hatfield moved to amend the supplemental ordinance as follows:

Section XIII:28-5-53-Solar Collection Systems

(b) Solar Land Coverage. Solar Land Coverage shall include the ~~occupied~~ land area directly covered by all components of that encompasses the Solar Collection System, including the mounting equipment, the area beneath the panels, and ancillary components of the system. ~~land beneath and between the solar panels.~~ Where other development exists or is proposed, the calculation for Solar Land Coverage shall be the percentage of the total remaining land excluding the existing or proposed lot coverage as defined under 28-4-1 (e). ~~When components are separated by natural features such as wetlands, bluffs, or buffers, or are located greater than 50 feet apart, the occupied land area shall be calculated as separate units.~~

(e) Uses Allowed and Maximum Solar Land Coverage. The uses allowed and maximum solar land coverage area for each district is in the following table. No development shall exceed 50 acres of solar land coverage. Community solar

shall be limited by group metering rules as established under RSA 362-A:9, XIV. The Planning Board may require a reduction in size below the maximum allowed based on ~~aesthetics and~~ existing site conditions.

Section XV:

Solar Land Coverage. The occupied land area directly covered by ~~that encompasses~~ all components of the Solar Collection System, calculated as defined in Sections 28-4-1(e) and 28-5-53(b).

The motion was duly seconded.

Councilor Hatfield thanked all the people who brought this ordinance forward noting that a lot of effort was put into this from Planning staff, the Planning Board, the Conservation Commission and the Energy and Environment Committee. She acknowledged the public that weighed in and the testimony that she found most compelling was from people who are living on the outskirts of Concord and are concerned about contributing to keeping open space who said to please make sure that the ordinance that you pass does facilitate all kinds of solar development because they want options to keep their land open. Often times, their only option is to create house lots and solar can be seen as another option. In reviewing the ordinance and site plan regulation changes, she feels very confident that the Planning Board has the power and the tools that it needs to ensure that solar development, of all kinds, will be appropriate and that abutters and others in the neighborhoods will be able to know what it's going to look like and will be able to weigh in.

Mayor Bouley clarified that the supplemental ordinance is the one that came from the City Planner on August 8, 2019. Referencing page 10 of 12 of the supplemental ordinance, he pointed out that Councilor Hatfield's amendment has Section XIII but believes she wants it to be Section XIV. Councilor Hatfield confirmed that to be correct.

Councilor Kretovic referenced the fourth line down in paragraph (e) of the amendment pointing out that the word "met" should be "net".

Councilor Champlin referenced the second sentence in paragraph (e) "No development shall exceed 50 acres of solar land coverage" and questioned as to how solar land coverage is being defined. Councilor Hatfield responded that it is defined in paragraph (b) above. Under this amendment it would be only the land

area directly covered by the solar panels or the components of the panels themselves; the change that the amendment makes is to not count the space between the rows of panels.

Councilor Champlin pointed out, if the solar land coverage only encompasses the solar panels and the land immediately under and not the land in between, they are not talking about a maximum development of fifty acres of total occupied space but rather fifty acres of solar panels that could be spread over 100 acres of land or 200 acres of land. Councilor Hatfield referenced the table that appears in section (e) on page 11 of the proposed ordinance pointing out that there is a solar land coverage table. She noted that for the RO and RM districts, commercial solar could only cover forty percent of a parcel.

Councilor Champlin stated that the phrase "No development shall exceed 50 acres of solar land coverage" coupled with definition of solar land coverage as only the area comprised by the surface of the panels and the land underneath means that, depending on how much land one puts between each of the panels in that fifty acres, it could be significantly large. He believes that the forty percent limitation in the RO is actually taken from the current restrictions when they do cluster housing. For example, if they had a 100 acre lot and forty percent of that was going to be occupied area by the cluster housing, the acreage would not be based upon the footprint of each house but it would be defined the acreage taken up by the occupied space by all those housing, driveways, yards, etc. He noted that they are talking about something really different here in the proposed changes with the ordinance. Councilor Hatfield responded that her understanding is that the way that the underlying proposed ordinance treats solar is actually different from the cluster development. Her goal was to amend the ordinance so that it's a more consistent treatment because her understanding was that this was singling out solar to count undeveloped areas as developed whereas in a cluster development, that wouldn't be the case.

Councilor Kretovic noted that Council received testimony via email from a gentleman who had a question in regards to fencing around a solar array. What the gentleman was stating is that if they put a fence around it they should be counting the entire area in the fenced area versus the land in between. She asked how his argument fits in with the proposed changes. Councilor Hatfield responded that her understanding is that the Planning Board's ability to require a reduction in size below that maximum could take these things into account and that they can look at the site as a whole, look at the buffer requirements, look at the impacts on roads,

abutting properties and require that it be less than the fifty acres of the maximum coverage; the Planning Board would have the discretion to shape a project so that it fits where it's proposed.

Councilor Herschlag noted that currently, for RO, you are allowed ten percent coverage; under community solar, fifty percent coverage would be allowed. Using an example of 100 acres, he noted that ten percent coverage would be ten percent of this which is what would be taken up by the use of that structure but under this, with fifty percent coverage, the actual area that would be occupied would not be fifty acres it could be significantly larger because of the space needed between. He understands the argument that they don't measure any other structure in that manner but when they are looking at some of their other areas, particularly in the RO area, one has to be concerned about how that could impact those areas of their community. He asked if he would be wrong to assume that the area being talked about being measured for coverage would be at least the same amount of land that would be left between the solar panels. Councilor Hatfield responded that it depends; it depends upon the topography of the parcel and the size of the solar installation. She is not personally aware of a set distance but believes that it depends upon the location and site specific factors that are being considered when the Planning Board reviews it.

Councilor Herschlag stated that his other concern is that when they are looking at using as an accessory use that, in all the districts, you would need to get a conditional approval. His understanding is that when they look at the different districts where this would be allowed, in some districts you would be able to cover the entire district with the solar. He noted that the intention for those performance districts and industrial districts was to have mixed use or specific types of businesses; if somebody proposed a solar array that could preclude some of those types of uses for those properties. In addition, he doesn't know how they would value or assess the solar panels in comparison to a more traditional use for those properties. Councilor Hatfield indicated that she would have to defer the valuation or assessment question to someone more qualified. With respect to the industrial district question, Councilor Herschlag is correct that the solar land coverage table does allow a higher percentage in the industrial zoned areas of the city.

Councilor Herschlag clarified that its the same amount in the industrial district which is 85 percent. Councilor Hatfield noted that she was referring to if it was more than what's allowed in the RO. She supports this because she wants to see Concord be welcoming to large solar developments where its appropriate.

Councilor Herschlag assumes that the panels would be there for a minimum of twenty years and thinks that if it were successful, that use would continue. He feels that its important to have alternate sources of energy and feels that they should put solar where it makes sense and as much of it as they can where it makes sense. His concern is that they have very limited areas for performance districts and industrial areas and there is the potential that those areas can be entirely utilized for solar panels and not knowing what the difference in value to the community would be. It gives him pause that they are going to pass this and a few years down the road say that it's not meeting their expectations. He hopes there is some way to resolve this issue this evening.

Councilor Hatfield noted that one of the most interesting things to her about land use is that the city just doesn't control what is built on different parcels and that they zone their city to try to promote certain types of development in growth in certain areas in the city - at the end of the day it really comes down to economics. She feels that if someone can propose any kind of a development in their industrial area, the winner is the one most economically feasible and is actually proposed and brought to the Planning Board. She wants to ensure that all different types of development, including large solar, have an opportunity to compete for those prime areas.

Councilor Coen asked if what he is hearing tonight is that they are offering that they can cover fifty acres in solar panels if they were abutted next to each other but they won't be abutted next to each other so it's going to be more than fifty acres for that site. Councilor Hatfield explained that the site will need to be much larger than that in order to accommodate fifty acres of panels because of the forty percent coverage. She added that there are also buffer requirements and requirements related to animal passage and other items that the Planning Board can place on a development.

Councilor Coen noted that his concern has been retaining a rural atmosphere outside the urban district. When serving on the Northern Pass Committee, he indicated what was brought up was the concern about aesthetics and how that would affect the view of Concord and how people perceive it. He stated that it was interesting to see both sides and how they presented it. As a City Council, their recommendation was to bury the lines for aesthetics reasons. What is currently being proposed here is a minimum of fifty acres just under solar panels in a rural area pointing out, as an image, that the Steeplegate Mall land is fifty acres.

He feels that it's a negative impact on what the city would look like with commercial solar panels. He feels that, if this passes, there will be a tremendous change in the character of the City of Concord which is why he is against this.

Councilor Hatfield clarified that its a maximum of fifty acres of coverage on a parcel that would be much bigger than that because of the forty percent. She explained that it's not impervious surface and is a place where other things can be grown and animals can pass; there will be screens and buffers also.

Councilor Werner noted that they have to keep in mind that solar development has to be as close as possible to the actual power source. He stated that it's not a correct thought to think that solar is going to blossom throughout the RO district because it can only be in a place that is close to a power source.

Councilor Kretovic stated that, over the past few months, they have had healthy discussions with regards to solar. They've had an initiative where they want to be less dependent upon fossil fuels over an "x" amount of time. She feels that they are sometimes limiting their thought here by not looking at other communities noting that she had the opportunity to drive through Vermont and New York which have many wind generated wind mills. She pointed out that New York has a very large solar array in which there was enough room between each of the panels for a human to pass through and she felt that it wasn't intrusive. She feels that they are limiting themselves in the whole view of what solar and other energy sources can provide for their community and for their state. She heard very distinctly from the agricultural growers in their community that have land that can't be used. They pay taxes on that land and have an opportunity to earn some money to help offset the expenses of their operations that grow a lot of food and dairy within the community. She stated that they have to start somewhere and feels that this proposal is a good balance; starting somewhere is where they need to be at this point of time. She will be supporting this motion.

Councilor Champlin stated that he fully supports commercial solar in Concord as long as it can be done transparently and with respect to the factors that Councilor Coen mentioned - their rural character of the city. What concerns him is that he struggles to get the industry to really explain how they measure the footprint of one of these projects. He is very encouraged that the space in between the panels can be used for agriculture and to provide plants that support pollinators. On the other hand, he has consistently seen the confusion on the part of people who are potentially going to abut one of these places - trying to figure out exactly what they

are talking about, exactly what it is going to look like, exactly how much space it's going to take and is going to cover. He pointed out that the industry representatives continuously want to talk about the panels and not about the entire complex. He indicated that these projects will require a conditional use permit from the Planning Board; the Planning Board is constrained by ordinance and he is concerned that what they will find is that the Planning Board will be toothless to stop projects that perhaps the general public would like to see them stop. For this reason, he is going to be voting against this amendment.

Councilor Herschlag wondered if they could make a few exceptions: if they looked at using the city recommended footprint for the entire area to be considered for the RO district; for some of the performance districts, industrial districts and maybe even the office park performance district that they look at putting some limitation on whether fifty percent of the coverage that would be allowed normally so that those areas would have an opportunity to develop as our zoning ordinances currently envision them to develop. As they move to form-based code, if that is the desire of the Council in the future, and makes sense to allow more solar or those districts change then they can always make adjustments. He would err on the side of caution and shares many of Councilor Champlin's concerns that, although they would need to get a conditional use permit, the Planning Board would have no other choice but to grant that use. He would like to support this and thinks that they can find a way to address the concerns of some of the Councilors and, at the same time, give a message that they are a welcoming community for solar and alternative forms of energy.

Councilor Coen, in regards to the idea of the practicability of large solar units being close to sub-stations, stated that a gentleman within the industry testified a few months ago and confirmed that they didn't need to be next to a sub-station but there was a cost involved. Referencing the last report from the Energy and Environment Committee, he noted indicates that there needs to be three phased power available and that a map points out that the majority of the city has three phased power that's available.

Councilor Werner stated that it's a question of economics in terms of how close you can get to the power because the further you get away, the less economical a project becomes. From a practicable point of view, he doesn't feel that they should have a great worry. He stated that there is no reason why a commercial solar project cannot benefit directly to the city.

Councilor Rice Hawkins echoed the comments of Councilor Kretovic noting that she was moved by the testimony of farmers who talked about how this could supplement their income, how they could grow crops in between, and a way to stay viable and keep local farms within the community. She noted that she had the opportunity to travel through southwestern states to see solar arrays; this is a generational crisis and wants to know that when she looks back at the votes they took that they are taking positive steps forward to try to address some of the issues that are before them. She will be supporting the amendment.

Councilor Hatfield's amendment passed on a voice vote.

Councilor Herschlag moved to amend that, within the RO district and the RM district, they use the city recommended footprint for those areas - page 11 solar land coverage table and section XIV, (b) from the proposed amendment; looking at the entire footprint that would be occupied by the solar panels including the land in between would be the way that they look at land coverage table in the RO and the RM districts. In the gateway performance district, the office park performance district and industrial district no more than fifty percent of the allowable lot coverage could be utilized for solar. He commented that he would prefer that they move forward somewhat cautiously because they are jumping into this with both feet and don't know into how much water. He would rather use some caution, be able to move forward in the future as opposed to having to back pedal at some point because they didn't see all the implications of what's being proposed.

Mayor Bouley asked for clarification to the motion.

Referencing page 11, Councilor Herschlag indicated for the RO and RM district, he would propose that they use the original language from section XIV, (b) and make a new proposal for the gateway performance district, the office park performance district and industrial district; page 8 within the zoning ordinance which lists maximum lot coverage and use those numbers which for gateway performance is 85 percent, office park performance would be 60 percent, and industrial district would be 85 percent and allow fifty percent of that lot coverage using the definition from section XIV, subparagraph (b) for those districts.

There was no second to Councilor Herschlag's amendment.

Councilor Coen noted his concern that the public hasn't had an opportunity speak in favor or against this change within the ordinance.

Mayor Bouley stated that Council isn't doing anything differently tonight that they've ever done protocol wise in the past. He pointed out that they are following their process as they've always had and believes they are having a healthy discussion this evening.

Councilor Hatfield personally takes their process issues very seriously and does believe that this was one of the major issues that the various people commented on and shared different views. While this language wasn't on the table at that time, she does believe people spoke to concerns related to it.

Councilor Kretovic pointed out that the last revision given to them was for sixty percent coverage as opposed to the fifty acres that is being proposed here so this is actually different.

Councilor Hatfield indicated that the fifty acres was brought forward by the Planning staff in the revised proposed ordinance which is why it isn't showing as new language in her amendment.

Councilor Herschlag stated that he will be reluctantly voting against this noting that he feels that solar is very important and would like to find a way to support this but thinks this is too big a step and leaves too many opportunities for unintended consequences that can impact how they develop in the future.

The motion to approve the ordinance, as amended, passed with two dissenting votes.

47. Ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances, Title IV, Zoning Code; Chapter 28, Zoning Ordinance; Article 28-5, Supplemental Standards; Section 28-5-50, Keeping of Chickens as Pets Accessory to a Residential Use; together with a report from the City Planner. (Ordinance referred to the Public Safety Advisory Board at the October 15, 2019 City Council meeting.)

Action: This item remains on the table.

Comments, Requests by Mayor, City Councilors

Councilor Todd noted that the upcoming holidays are fast approaching. He highlighted Giving Tuesday which is on December 3rd. He stated that it's an opportunity for people to generously donate to organizations that are in need. He recommends locally to the Penacook Community Center.

Councilor Todd indicated that on December 4th, the 13th annual Penacook Tree Lighting Ceremony at Boudreau Square. The assembling will begin at 5:30 p.m. for refreshments with the lighting of the tree at 6:00 p.m. with live music from the Penacook Elementary School chorus. A 50/50 raffle will be held this year along with a visit from Santa courtesy of the Concord Fire Department.

Councilor Herschlag pointed out that there were two contracts on the consent agenda this evening and inquired whether there is going to be any public discussion on these or were they just approved through the consent agenda vote. Mayor Bouley responded that no one removed those items from the consent agenda which was unanimously adopted.

Councilor Herschlag requested that Council receive an opinion from the City Solicitor regarding the lighting around the top of the Hotel Concord. He wonders if it meets the city's ordinances for lighting.

Mayor Bouley thanked all the election officials who worked at the polls on Tuesday. He thanked the City Clerk's Office for their work on the election.

Comments, Requests by the City Manager

Consideration of Suspense Items

Action: Councilor Champlin moved to consider this suspense item not previously advertised. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

Sus1. Canvass of the Votes for the Municipal Election held on November 5, 2019.

Action: Councilor Kretovic moved to accept the Canvass of the Votes. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

In regards to the Hotel Concord, Carlos Baia, Deputy City Manager-Development, indicated that the lights that were installed around the roof of the Hotel Concord were approved by the Architectural Design Review Committee (ADR) but there was a condition on the approval that there would be a shield that would be placed because the lights were actually meant to be directed towards the soffit. He noted that the shield has not yet been installed which is why the lights are off. He stated that city staff has been in conversations with the hotel owner and have come to an agreement that they'll turn off the lights pending getting those shields. The shields are anticipated to arrive on a tentative date of November 20th; they'll try them on, turn on the lights to see if that is satisfactory or not and they will continue those conversations to make sure they have a product that meets the spirit of the ADR

approval.

Councilor Herschlag inquired as to how often the color of the lights can be changed. Mr. Baia is not aware that there is a requirement as to the frequency of the color changes. Councilor Herschlag asked if there is not anything preventing the colors from changing every five seconds. Mr. Baia responded that, in their approval, he is not aware of a stipulation as to changing the colors based on this frequency or that frequency.

Councilor Herschlag asked if the lights could be made to look like they are moving or circling the building. Mr. Baia replied that if they add movement to the lights, he believes that this may be an issue because of their electronic message centers.

Councilor Kretovic understands from the Hotel Concord is that the intention is to only have a singular color at one time pointing out that it takes their engineering team to make a change.

Councilor Hatfield thanked staff for working on this issue to try to get it right because she knows that residents in this area have complained about how bright the lights are.

Councilor Keach noted that, as a resident in this neighborhood, he finds the lights respectful and not intrusive at all.

Councilor Champlin has personally spoken to the management and found them to be very sensitive and responsive to the concerns that people have expressed. He feels that the lights make a nice atmosphere in the city alongside the light on the capital building dome.

Councilor Kenison is having difficulty imagining and envisioning what the criteria is going to be for other buildings to have similar lights.

Adjournment

The time being 9:25 p.m., Councilor Grady Sexton moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion was duly seconded and passed with no dissenting votes.

A true copy; I attest:

*Michelle Mulholland
Deputy City Clerk*

Information

Inf1. May 7, 2019 Heritage Commission Meeting Minutes.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf2. June 6, 2019 Heritage Commission Meeting Minutes.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf3. July 17, 2019 Planning Board Meeting Minutes.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf4. June 19, 2019 Planning Board Meeting Minutes.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf5. September 9, 2019 Golf Course Advisory Committee Draft Meeting Minutes.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf6. September 30, 2019 Public Safety Board Draft Meeting Minutes.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf7. October 3, 2019 Airport Advisory Committee Draft Meeting Minutes.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf8. October 7, 2019 Concord Public Library Trustee Meeting Minutes.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf9. October 21, 2019 Fiscal Policy Advisory Committee Draft Meeting Minutes.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf10. Communication from Comcast Cable informing customers about upcoming changes in service to customers.

Action: Information item received and filed.

Inf11. Communication from Comcast outlining the latest news about their Internet Essentials program.

Action: Information item received and filed.